

Fourth unofficial exercise sheet on Quantum Gravity

Winter term 2019/20

Release: Fri, Nov. 15

Discuss: Fri, Nov. 22

Exercise 13: Scalar electrodynamics: first-class constraints

Consider the Lagrangian action for the electromagnetic field coupled to a charged scalar field

$$S_{\text{ScED}}^1[\phi, \phi^*, A_\mu] = \int d^{d+1}x \left\{ -\eta^{\mu\nu} \phi_{;\mu}^* \phi_{;\nu} - V(\phi^* \phi) - \frac{1}{4} \eta^{\xi\rho} \eta^{\sigma\tau} F_{\xi\tau} F_{\rho\sigma} \right\}, \quad (1a)$$

$$\phi_{;\mu} := \phi_{,\mu} - ie A_\mu \phi, \quad \phi_{;\mu}^* := \phi_{,\mu}^* + ie A_\mu \phi^*. \quad (1b)$$

1. Show that

$$\Pi^i := \frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}^v}{\partial V_i} = \delta^{ij} (V_j - A_{0;j}) = \delta^{ij} F_{0j}|_{A_k=V_k} = \delta^{ij} E_j|_{A_k=V_k}, \quad (2)$$

where \mathfrak{L}^v is the Lagrangian density with velocities, $E_i = -F_{0i} = F_{i0}$ is the electric field in $(3+1)$ -decomposition.

2. Show that the action with primary constraints reads

$$S_{\text{ScED}}^{\text{P}}[\phi, \phi^*, A_\mu; \pi, \pi^*, \Pi^\nu; V_0] = \int dt \left\{ \int d^d x (\Pi^\mu \dot{A}_\mu + \pi \dot{\phi} + \dot{\phi}^* \pi^*) - H^{\text{P}} \right\} \quad (3a)$$

$$= \int dt d^d x \{ \Pi^\mu \dot{A}_\mu + \pi \dot{\phi} + \dot{\phi}^* \pi^* - \mathfrak{H}^{\text{P}} \},$$

$$\mathfrak{H}^{\text{P}} = \mathfrak{H}^{\text{c}} - A_0 \mathfrak{G} + V_0 \mathfrak{F} + (\Pi^i A_0)_{;i}, \quad (3b)$$

$$\mathfrak{F} = \Pi^0, \quad \mathfrak{G} = iq(\phi^* \pi^* - \pi \phi) + \Pi^i_{;i}, \quad (3c)$$

$$\mathfrak{H}^{\text{c}} = \pi^* \pi + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \Pi^i \Pi^j + \delta^{ij} \phi_{;i}^* \phi_{;j} + V(\phi^* \phi) + \frac{1}{4} \delta^{ik} \delta^{jl} F_{ij} F_{kl}, \quad (3d)$$

where (A_μ, Π^μ) , (ϕ, π) , (ϕ^*, π^*) are conjugate pairs of canonical variables, \mathfrak{F} is the primary constraint.

3. In the literature, \mathfrak{G} is sometimes called the *Gauss constraint*. Show that it is a secondary constraint, and there is no further constraint. Moreover, the constraint algebra is abelian,

$$[\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{G}]_{\text{P}} = 0, \quad (4)$$

so that the constraints are first-class.

4. Use the Dirac quantisation rules to write down the equations for the quantum wave functional.

Remark. For a story of *the Maxwellians*, see e.g. [1]

See overleaf.

Exercise 14: Scalar electrodynamics: gauge transformation in phase space

In the Hamiltonian formalism, infinitesimal gauge transformations are generated by the Poisson bracket with a ‘gauge generator’ (see e.g. [2, ch. 5]), which is widely believed to be the first-class constraints. The statement is shown not to hold by the counterexample of electromagnetism [3].

In this exercise we recover the calculation and have a glimpse on *gauge transformations in phase space*. Consider the Lagrangian action in eq. (1a) for the electromagnetic field coupled to a charged scalar field.

1. We first use the Lagrangian approach. Show that the action in eq. (1a) is invariant under the *gauge transformation in configuration space*

$$\phi \rightarrow e^{-ie\Lambda}\phi, \quad \phi^* \rightarrow e^{+ie\Lambda}\phi^*, \quad A_\mu \mapsto A_\mu - \Lambda_{,\mu}, \quad (5)$$

where the ‘gauge’ is also in the Yang(楊)–Mills sense.

2. The condition $\eta^{\mu\nu}A_{\mu,\nu} = 0$ is called the *Lorenz gauge*. Is there any remaining functional indeterminacy? Does the Lorenz gauge render the initial value problem well-posed?
3. Now we go to the Hamiltonian formalism. Consider the generic gauge generator

$$\tilde{G}(t) = \int d^d x \left\{ \mathfrak{F}(x^k) \xi(t, x^k) + \mathfrak{G}(x^i) \epsilon(t, x^k) \right\}, \quad (6)$$

containing two *independent* gauge parameters ξ, ϵ .

Show that $\tilde{G}(t)$ gives the following infinitesimal gauge transformations

$$\delta\phi(x^k) = \left[\phi(x^k), \tilde{G}(t) \right]_{\text{P}} = -ie\phi\epsilon, \quad \delta\phi^*(x^k) = \left[\phi^*(x^k), \tilde{G}(t) \right]_{\text{P}} = +ie\phi\epsilon; \quad (7a)$$

$$\delta A_0(x^k) = \left[A_0(x^k), \tilde{G}(t) \right]_{\text{P}} = \xi, \quad \delta A_i(x^k) = \left[A_i(x^k), \tilde{G}(t) \right]_{\text{P}} = -\epsilon_{,i}, \quad (7b)$$

$$\delta\pi(x^k) = \left[\pi(x^k), \tilde{G}(t) \right]_{\text{P}} = +ie\phi\epsilon, \quad \delta\pi^*(x^k) = \left[\pi^*(x^k), \tilde{G}(t) \right]_{\text{P}} = -ie\phi\epsilon. \quad (7c)$$

$$\delta\Pi^0(x^k) = \left[\Pi^0(x^k), \tilde{G}(t) \right]_{\text{P}} = 0, \quad \delta\Pi^i(x^k) = \left[\Pi^i(x^k), \tilde{G}(t) \right]_{\text{P}} = 0, \quad (7d)$$

4. How to recover the third expression in eq. (5) from eq. (7b)?

Remark 1. For a historical discussion of the gauge named after Ludvig Lorenz, see e.g. [4–6].

Remark 2. The variable A_0 , usually considered as non-dynamical, also changes under a gauge transformation.

Exercise 15: Scalar electrodynamics: the Kugo–Ojima terms for scalar electrodynamics

For quantised Yang(楊)–Mills gauge theories, one can use the Faddeev–Popov trick (see e.g. [7]) to fix a gauge in the functional formalism. The trick can also be accommodated at the Lagrangian level by the Kugo(九後)–Ojima(小嶋) terms [8], so that the gauge fixing can be studied at the classical level, and in the Hamiltonian formalism as well.

For scalar electrodynamics, the Kugo(九後)–Ojima(小嶋) terms read

$$S_\alpha^1[\phi, \phi^*, A_\mu, B] = S_{\text{ScED}}^1 + S_{\text{KO},\alpha}^1, \quad S_{\text{KO},\alpha}^1 := \int d^{d+1}x \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{2} B^2 + B\eta^{\mu\nu}A_{\mu,\nu} \right\}. \quad (8)$$

1. We first use the Lagrangian approach. Show that the variation of S_α^1 gives

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta S_\alpha^1}{\delta A_\nu} = ie(\phi^* \phi_{;\mu} - \phi_{;\mu}^* \phi) + \eta^{\zeta\pi}(A_{\mu,\pi,\zeta} - A_{\pi,\mu,\zeta}) - B_{,\mu}, \quad (9a)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_\alpha^1}{\delta \phi^*} = - \frac{dV}{d\Phi} \Big|_{\Phi=\phi^*\phi} \phi + \phi_{;\mu;\nu}, \quad \frac{\delta S_\alpha^1}{\delta \phi} = - \frac{dV}{d\Phi} \Big|_{\Phi=\phi^*\phi} \phi^* + \phi_{;\mu;\nu}^*, \quad (9b)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_\alpha^1}{\delta B} = \alpha B + \eta^{\mu\nu}A_{\mu,\nu}. \quad (9c)$$

2. In the literature, $\alpha \rightarrow 0^+$ leads to the Landau gauge, which is said to be classically equivalent to the Lorenz gauge. What happens if one inserts $\alpha \rightarrow 0^+$ in the equations of motion?

3. Solve $0 = \delta S_\alpha^1 / \delta B$ for $\alpha = 1$ for B , which is called the Feynman–t Hooft gauge. Insert the solution to eq. (9a) in order to eliminate B .
4. Now we go to the Hamiltonian formalism. Show that the Hamiltonian density with primary constraints reads

$$\mathfrak{H}^P = \mathfrak{H}^S + V_0 \mathfrak{F} + V_B \mathfrak{C}, \quad (10a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H}^S = & \pi^* \pi + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \Pi^i \Pi^j - iq A_0 (\pi^* - \pi) + \Pi^i A_{0,i} \\ & + \delta^{ij} \phi_{;i}^* \phi_{;j} + V(\phi^* \phi) + \frac{1}{4} \delta^{ik} \delta^{jl} F_{ij} F_{kl} - \frac{\alpha}{2} B^2 - B \delta^{ij} A_{i,j}, \end{aligned} \quad (10b)$$

$$\mathfrak{F} = \Pi^0 + B, \quad \mathfrak{C} = \pi^B, \quad (10c)$$

where $\{\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{C}\}$ are primary constraints, satisfying

$$[\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{C}']_P = -\delta^d (x^k - y^k). \quad (11)$$

5. Show that

$$[\mathfrak{F}, H^P]_P = \mathfrak{G} + V_B, \quad \mathfrak{G} := iq(\pi^* - \pi) + \Pi^i_{;i}; \quad (12a)$$

$$[\mathfrak{C}, H^P]_P = \mathfrak{R} - V_0, \quad \mathfrak{R} := \alpha B + \delta^{ij} A_{i,j}, \quad (12b)$$

and the Hamiltonian density with primary constraints can be written as

$$\mathfrak{H}^P = \mathfrak{H}^c - A_0 \mathfrak{G} - B \mathfrak{R} + V_0 \mathfrak{F} + V_B \mathfrak{C} + \left(\Pi^i A_0 \right)_{;i}, \quad (13a)$$

$$\mathfrak{H}^c = \pi^* \pi + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \Pi^i \Pi^j + \delta^{ij} \phi_{;i}^* \phi_{;j} + V(\phi^* \phi) + \frac{1}{4} \delta^{ik} \delta^{jl} F_{ij} F_{kl} + \frac{\alpha}{2} B^2. \quad (13b)$$

6. Persistence of the primary constraints requires $\dot{\Phi} = [\Phi, H^P]_P \approx 0$, $\Phi = \mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{C}$, which holds if one chooses $V_B = -\mathfrak{G}$, $V_0 = \mathfrak{R}$. According to different sources [2, sec. 3.4, 9, sec. 4.2.2], the algorithm to find constraints *might* terminate already at the primary stage.

How would you proceed to arrive at a canonical description of the system that is ready to be quantised?

References:

- [1] D. L. Sengupta and T. K. Sarkar, ‘Maxwell, Hertz, the Maxwellians, and the early history of electromagnetic waves’, *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine* **45**, 13–19 (2003) [10.1109/map.2003.1203114](https://doi.org/10.1109/map.2003.1203114).
- [2] H. J. Rothe and K. D. Rothe, *Classical and quantum dynamics of constrained Hamiltonian systems* (World Scientific, Apr. 2010), ISBN: 9789814299640, [10.1142/7689](https://doi.org/10.1142/7689).
- [3] J. B. Pitts, ‘A first class constraint generates not a gauge transformation, but a bad physical change: the case of electromagnetism’, *Annals of Physics* **351**, 382–406 (2013) [10.1016/j.aop.2014.08.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2014.08.014), [arXiv:1310.2756 \[gr-qc\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.2756).
- [4] J. van Bladel, ‘Lorenz or Lorentz?’, *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine* **33**, 69–69 (1991) [10.1109/map.1991.5672647](https://doi.org/10.1109/map.1991.5672647).
- [5] J. van Bladel, ‘Lorenz or Lorentz? [addendum]’, *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine* **33**, 56–56 (1991) [10.1109/map.1991.5672657](https://doi.org/10.1109/map.1991.5672657).
- [6] R. Nevels and C.-S. Shin, ‘Lorenz, Lorentz, and the gauge’, *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine* **43**, 70–71 (2001) [10.1109/74.934904](https://doi.org/10.1109/74.934904).
- [7] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction to quantum field theory* (Addison-Wesley, 1995), ISBN: 9780201503975, <http://physics.weber.edu/schroeder/qftbook.html>.
- [8] T. Kugo and I. Ojima, ‘Manifestly covariant canonical formulation of the Yang–Mills field theories. i, General formalism’, *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **60**, 1869–1889 (1978) [10.1143/ptp.60.1869](https://doi.org/10.1143/ptp.60.1869).
- [9] D. M. Gitman and I. V. Tyutin, *Quantization of fields with constraints* (Springer, 1990), ISBN: 9783642839405, [10.1007/978-3-642-83938-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-83938-2).