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## Exercise 1: Actions for general relativity

In a $D$-dimensional Lorentzian manifold $\left(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu \nu}\right)$, consider the $\Gamma^{2}$ - and Einstein-Hilbert actions [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\Gamma^{2}}\left[g_{\mu \nu}\right]=\frac{1}{2 \varkappa} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{-\widetilde{\tilde{g}}} g^{\mu \nu}\left(\Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{v \sigma} \Gamma^{\sigma}{ }_{\rho \mu}-\Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\rho \sigma} \Gamma^{\sigma}{ }_{v \mu}\right),  \tag{1}\\
& S_{\mathrm{EH}}\left[g_{\mu \nu}\right]=\frac{1}{2 \varkappa} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{-\widetilde{\widetilde{g}}} R, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varkappa:=8 \pi \mathrm{G}, \widetilde{\tilde{g}}:=\operatorname{det} g_{\mu v}, \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{v \rho}$ is the Christoffel symbol, and $R$ the Ricci scalar.

1. Find the difference between $S_{\Gamma^{2}}$ and $S_{\mathrm{EH}}$.
2. Argue that applying the Hamilton's principle to $S_{\Gamma^{2}}$ leads to the Einstein field equations.
3. $S_{\Gamma^{2}}$ is not general invariant. Does it affect the classical dynamics?

Remark. $S_{\Gamma^{2}}$ was proposed by Einstein [2]. For a historical discussion of $S_{E H}$, see $[3,4]$.

## Exercise 2: Boundary integral in Einstein-Hilbert action

The Einstein-Hilbert action contains second derivatives, which could break the Hamilton's principle, that only works for Lagrangians containing at most first derivatives [5, sec. 1.1]. Adding a boundary integral fixes this problem [6, sec. 1.1.1], which we study here following [7].
Consider variation of $S_{\mathrm{EH}}$ in eq. (2) in a region $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{M}$, where the boundary $\partial \mathcal{V}$ is smooth; for simplicity, it is also space-like, namely a tangential vector of $\partial \mathcal{V}$ is always space-like.

1. We know that $2 \varkappa \delta S_{\mathrm{EH}}=\int_{\mathcal{V}} \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{-\widetilde{\widetilde{g}}} G_{\mu \nu} \delta g^{\mu \nu}+2 \varkappa I$, where $G_{\mu \nu}$ is the Einstein tensor. Use the generalised Stokes' theorem to argue that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \varkappa I\left[g_{\mu v}\right]=\int_{\partial \mathcal{V}} \mathrm{d}^{D-1} x \sqrt{\widetilde{\tilde{h}}} n_{\mu}\left(g^{\rho \sigma} \delta \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\rho \sigma}-g^{\mu v} \delta \Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\rho v}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n^{\mu}$ is a normal vector field, $n^{\mu} n_{\mu}=-1 ; \widetilde{\tilde{h}}=\operatorname{det} h_{i j}, h_{i j}$ is the induced metric on $\partial \mathcal{V}$ in the internal holonomic basis, which we do not need here.

Be aware that in the external holonomic basis, the induced metric reads $h_{\mu \nu}=g_{\mu \nu}+n_{\mu} n_{\nu}$, where $n^{\mu}$ is the tangential vector of $\partial \mathcal{V}, n^{\mu} n_{\mu}=-1$.
2. The final goal in this exercise is to separate $\nabla \delta g$ and $\delta g$ in the integrand in eq. (3). Here is how Padmanabhan proceeded.
Show that eq. (3) can be transformed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \varkappa I\left[g_{\mu \nu}\right]=\int_{\partial \nu} \mathrm{d}^{D-1} x \sqrt{\widetilde{\tilde{h}}}\left\{\left(\delta n^{\mu}+g^{\mu \nu} \delta n_{\nu}\right)_{; \mu}-\delta\left(2 n_{; \mu}^{\mu}\right)+n_{v ; \mu} \delta g^{\mu \nu}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\delta n^{\mu}=\delta\left(g^{\mu v} n_{v}\right)=\delta g^{\mu v} n_{v}+g^{\mu v} \delta n_{v} \neq g^{\mu v} \delta n_{v}$ !
3. $\partial \mathcal{V}$ is a hypersurface, which will be studied in a later exercise. The result will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\delta n^{\mu}+g^{\mu v} \delta n_{v}\right)_{; \mu}=\left(\delta n^{\mu}+g^{\mu v} \delta n_{v}\right)_{\mid \mu}+n_{\mu} n^{\rho} n_{v ; \rho} \delta g^{\mu v} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{\mid}$is the induced covariant derivative on $\partial \mathcal{V}$. Use eq. (5) and show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \varkappa I\left[g_{\mu v}\right]=\int_{\partial \mathcal{V}} \mathrm{d}^{D-1} x \sqrt{\widetilde{\tilde{h}}}\left\{\left(\delta n^{\mu}+g^{\mu v} \delta n_{v}\right)_{\mid \mu}-\delta\left(2 n_{; \mu}^{\mu}\right)+\left(n_{v ; \mu}+n_{\mu} n^{\rho} n_{v ; \rho}\right) \delta g^{\mu \nu}\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Define (à la [6, eq. (4.45)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mu \nu}:=n_{v ; \mu}+n_{\mu} n^{\rho} n_{v ; \rho}, \quad K:=g^{\mu v} K_{\mu v} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Be aware of the following properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mu v}=K_{v \mu}, \quad n^{\mu} K_{\mu v}=0, \quad K=n^{\mu} ; \mu ; \quad \delta \sqrt{\widetilde{\tilde{h}}}=-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\widetilde{\tilde{h}}} h_{\mu v} \delta h^{\mu \nu} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use eq. (8) and show that

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \varkappa I\left[g_{\mu v}\right] & =\int_{\partial \mathcal{V}} \mathrm{d}^{D-1} x \sqrt{\widetilde{\tilde{h}}}\left(\delta n^{\mu}+g^{\mu v} \delta n_{v}\right)_{\mid \mu}-2 \varkappa \delta S_{\mathrm{GHY}}-\int_{\partial \mathcal{V}} \mathrm{d}^{D-1} x \sqrt{\widetilde{\tilde{h}}}\left(K h_{\mu v}-K_{\mu v}\right) \delta h^{\mu v}  \tag{9}\\
S_{\mathrm{GHY}} & :=\frac{1}{\varkappa} \int_{\partial \mathcal{V}} \mathrm{d}^{D-1} x \sqrt{\widetilde{\tilde{h}}} K \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

There might be some sign problems here. Please help me to correct them!
Remark 1. The variation of $\widetilde{\widetilde{g}}$ was left as Exercise 18 in Relativity I WS1819.
Remark 2. In eq. (9), the third integral vanishes if $\left.\delta g^{\mu \nu}\right|_{\partial \mathcal{V}}=0$ (more precisely, $\delta h^{\mu \nu}=0$ is sufficient; $\delta n^{\mu}$ can be arbitrary); the first integral can be pushed to the boundary of $\partial \mathcal{V}$, i.e. $\partial^{2} \mathcal{V}$, which deserves further study (e.g. [8] and the references therein) but can be ignored here. The second term is what we use to cancel the second derivatives in $S_{\mathrm{EH}}$ and is usually called the Gibbons-Hawking-York term.

## Exercise 3: Fierz-Pauli action in vacuum

The Fierz-Pauli action [9] (Might be wrong in sign!)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{FP}}\left[f_{\mu v}\right]=\frac{1}{8 \varkappa} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{D} x\left\{\eta^{\mu v} \eta^{\rho \sigma} \eta^{\lambda \kappa}\left[f_{\rho \sigma, \lambda}\left(2 f_{\kappa v, \mu}-f_{v \mu, \kappa}\right)-f_{\sigma v, \lambda}\left(2 f_{\kappa \rho, \mu}-f_{\rho \mu, \kappa}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be derived by expanding the metric around the flat one

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mu \nu}=\eta_{\mu \nu}+\delta g_{\mu v}, \quad \delta g_{\mu \nu} \equiv f_{\mu v} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and expanding an action for the Einstein field equations to the second order.

1. For $S_{\Gamma^{2}}$ in eq. (1), argue that the zeroth and first order terms in the expansion vanishes, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\Gamma^{2}}\left[\eta_{\mu \nu}+f_{\mu \nu}\right]=\frac{1}{2 \varkappa} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{D} x\left\{\eta^{\mu \nu}\left(\delta \Gamma_{\rho \sigma}^{\rho} \delta \Gamma^{\sigma}{ }_{\nu \mu}-\delta \Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\nu \sigma} \delta \Gamma^{\sigma}{ }_{\rho \mu}\right)+O\left(\left(f_{\mu \nu}\right)^{3}\right)\right\} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Argue that expanding $S_{\text {EH }}$ gives the same result as in eq. (13), up to boundary terms.
3. Use Riemannian normal coordinates to argue that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{v \rho}=\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu \lambda}\left(f_{\lambda v, \rho}-f_{v \rho, \lambda}+f_{\rho \lambda, v}\right)+O\left(\left(f_{\mu v}\right)^{2}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad g_{\mu v}=\eta_{\mu v}+f_{\mu v} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Insert eq. (14) into eq. (13) and show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\Gamma^{2}}\left[\eta_{\mu v}+f_{\mu \nu}\right]=S_{\mathrm{FP}}\left[f_{\mu v}\right]+\int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{D} x O\left(\left(f_{\mu v}\right)^{3}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. Does eq. (11) reproduces [6, eq. (2.20)]?

Remark. By applying the Hamilton's principle, $S_{\mathrm{FP}}$ leads to the linearised Einstein equations, which was left as Exercise 33 in Relativity I WS1819. However, I do not find an easy way to write down an action given those equations.
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