

Ninth exercise sheet on Relativity and Cosmology I

Winter term 2018/19

Release: Mon, Dec. 17th

Submit: Mon, Jan. 7th in lecture

Discuss: Thu, Jan. 10th

Exercise 28 (4 points): *Geodesic deviation*

Consider two neighbouring geodesics with worldlines $x^\mu(\tau)$ and $x^\mu(\tau) + \zeta^\mu(\tau)$, where $\zeta^\mu(\tau)$ is considered to be small, so that quadratic and higher-order terms can be neglected. Let $u^\mu = dx^\mu/d\tau$ be the velocity. Show that the relative acceleration satisfies

$$\frac{D^2 \zeta^\mu}{D\tau^2} = R^\mu{}_{\nu\kappa\lambda} u^\nu u^\kappa \zeta^\lambda,$$

which is known as the *geodesic deviation equation*.

Exercise 29 (7 points): *Dust and ideal fluid*

In curved spacetime, the energy-momentum tensors of dust and ideal fluid are given by

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \rho u^\mu u^\nu + P (u^\mu u^\nu + g^{\mu\nu}),$$

where u^μ is the four-velocity field, ρ the energy density, P the pressure; for dust, $P = 0$.

29.1 Argue briefly that ρ and P are *scalars*.

29.2 For dust, show that dust particles move on geodesics.

29.3 Derive the continuity and the Euler equations of an ideal fluid by contracting $\nabla_\nu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ with u_μ and $g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu$, respectively.

29.4 Consider the spatially-flat (*Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker metric*), defined by

$$ds^2 = -N(t)^2 dt^2 + a(t)^2 \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad N > 0, a > 0.$$

Write down the continuity equation for an ideal fluid.

Hint: the spatial homogeneity and isotropy have to be used.

See overleaf.

Exercise 30 (3+8 points): *Relativistic charged particle I*

Consider a charged massive test particle in special relativity, described by the action ($c = 1$)

$$S[x^i] = \int_A^B dt L(x^i, \dot{x}^i) := \int_A^B dt \left\{ -m\sqrt{1 - (\dot{x}^i)^2} - q\Phi(x^j) + q\dot{x}^j A_i(x^k) \right\},$$

where $\dot{x}^i := dx^i/dt$, m and q are the mass and the electric charge, Φ and A_i the electric and vector potentials.

30.1 Calculate the *canonical* momentum $P_i = P_i(x^j, \dot{x}^k) := \partial L / \partial \dot{x}^i$. Derive its partial inverse $\dot{x}^i = v^i(x^j, P_k)$.

Remark. If such an inverse exists, the system is called *regular*, and there is *no constraint*.

30.2 (bonus) Calculate the *canonical* Hamiltonian $H = H(x^i, P_j)$. Derive the canonical equations of motion

$$\frac{dx^i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial P_i}, \quad \frac{dP_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^i}.$$

30.3 (bonus) From the results in **30.2**, find the relativistic Lorentz force in terms of the three-velocity \dot{x}^i , kinematic momentum $p_i := P_i - qA_i$, electric field $E_i := -\partial_i\Phi - \partial_t A_i$ and magnetic B -field $B^i := \epsilon^{ijk}\partial_j A_k$.

Exercise 31 (6 points): *Relativistic charged particle II: parametrised formulation*

Consider a charged massive test particle in special relativity, described by the action ($c = 1$)

$$S[x^\mu] = \int_A^B d\lambda L(x^\mu, \dot{x}^\nu) := \int_{\lambda_A}^{\lambda_B} d\lambda \left\{ -m\sqrt{-\eta_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu} + q\dot{x}^\mu A_\mu \right\}, \quad \mu, \nu, \rho = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

where $\dot{x}^\mu := dx^\mu/d\lambda$, A_μ is the four-potential.

31.1 Calculate the action under $\lambda \mapsto \lambda_f = f(\lambda)$, where the boundaries are fixed, $f(\lambda_{A,B}) = \lambda_{A,B}$, and $f'(\lambda) > 0$. Can one impose $\eta_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu = -1$ before deriving the equations of motion?

Remark. Such a system is called *parametrised*, and belongs to a subset of all *singular systems*. The Einstein–Hilbert action is also parametrised.

31.2 Calculate the canonical four-momentum $P_\mu = P_\mu(x^\nu, \dot{x}^\rho) := \partial L / \partial \dot{x}^\mu$ of the particle. Show that its partial inverse $\dot{x}^\mu = v^\mu(x^\nu, P_\rho)$ does *not* exist.

Remark. Such a non-existence is the defining property of a *singular system*, which is often a synonym for *constrained system*. The Maxwell theory is also singular, but not parametrised.

31.3 Calculate $\dot{x}^\mu P_\mu(x^\nu, \dot{x}^\rho) - L(x^\nu, \dot{x}^\rho)$.

Remark. This result can be shown to be universal for all parametrised systems.