Prof. Dr. Claus Kiefer

Yi-Fan Wang, Leonardo Chataignier and Tim Schmitz

ver. 1.01

## Tenth exercise sheet on Relativity and Cosmology I

Winter term 2020/21

Release: Mon, Jan. 25<sup>th</sup> Submit: Mon, Feb. 1<sup>st</sup> on ILIAS Discuss: Thu, Feb. 4<sup>th</sup>

**Exercise 30** (7 points): Dust and ideal fluid

In curved spacetime, the energy-momentum tensors of dust and ideal fluid are given by

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \rho u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + P \left(u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + g^{\mu\nu}\right),$$

where  $u^{\mu}$  is the four-velocity field,  $\rho$  the energy density, P the pressure; for dust, P=0.

- **30.1** Argue briefly that  $\rho$  and P are *scalars*.
- **30.2** For dust, show that dust particles move on geodesics.
- **30.3** Derive the continuity and the Euler equations of an ideal fluid by contracting  $\nabla_{\nu} T^{\mu\nu} = 0$  with  $u_{\mu}$  and  $g_{\mu\nu} + u_{\mu}u_{\nu}$ , respectively.
- **30.4** Consider the spatially-flat (Friedmann–Lemaître–)Robertson–Walker metric, defined by

$$ds^2 = -N(t)^2 dt^2 + a(t)^2 \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j$$
,  $N > 0$ ,  $a > 0$ .

Write down the continuity equation for an ideal fluid.

*Hint*: the spatial homogeneity and isotropy have to be used.

## **Exercise 31** (4 points): Contracted Bianchi identity from action

Let  $R_{\mu\nu}$  and R be the Ricci tensor and scalar, respectively. Derive the contracted Bianchi identity

$$G^{\mu\nu}_{;\mu} := \left(R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R\right)_{;\mu} = 0$$

by demanding the Einstein-Hilbert action be invariant under infinitesimal coordinate transformations.

## **Exercise 32** (3 points): Relativistic charged particle I

Consider a charged massive test particle in special relativity, described by the action (c = 1)

$$S\left[x^{i}\right] = \int_{A}^{B} \mathrm{d}t \, L\left(x^{i}, \dot{x}^{j}\right) := \int_{A}^{B} \mathrm{d}t \left\{-m\sqrt{1-\left(\dot{x}^{i}\right)^{2}} - q\Phi\left(x^{j}\right) + q\dot{x}^{i}A_{i}\left(x^{k}\right)\right\},$$

where  $\dot{x}^i := dx^i/dt$ , m and q are the mass and the electric charge,  $\Phi$  and  $A_i$  the electric and vector potentials.

- **32.1** Calculate the *canonical* momentum  $P_i = P_i(x^j, \dot{x}^k) := \partial L/\partial \dot{x}^i$ . Derive its partial inverse  $\dot{x}^i = v^i(x^j, P_k)$ . *Remark*. If such an inverse exists, the system is called *regular*, and there is *no constraint*.
- **32.2** (bonus) Calculate the *canonical* Hamiltonian  $H = H(x^i, P_i)$ . Derive the canonical equations of motion

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x^i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial P_i}, \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}P_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^i}.$$

**32.3** (bonus) From the results in **30.2**, find the relativistic Lorentz force in terms of the three-velocity  $\dot{x}^i$ , kinematic momentum  $p_i := P_i - qA_i$ , electric field  $E_i := -\partial_i \Phi - \partial_t A_i$  and magnetic B-field  $B^i := \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_i A_k$ .

## **Exercise 33** (6 points): Relativistic charged particle II: parametrised formulation

Consider a charged massive test particle in special relativity, described by the action (c = 1)

$$S[x^\mu] = \int_A^B \mathrm{d}\lambda \, L(x^\mu, \dot{x}^
u) := \int_{\lambda_A}^{\lambda_B} \mathrm{d}\lambda \left\{ -m\sqrt{-\eta_{\mu
u}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^
u} + q\dot{x}^\mu A_\mu 
ight\}, \qquad \mu, 
u, 
ho = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

where  $\dot{x}^{\mu} := dx^{\mu}/d\lambda$ ,  $A_{\mu}$  is the four-potential.

- **33.1** Calculate the action under  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda_f = f(\lambda)$ , where the boundaries are fixed,  $f(\lambda_{A,B}) = \lambda_{A,B}$ , and  $f'(\lambda) > 0$ . Can one impose  $\eta_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu = -1$  before deriving the equations of motion? Remark. Such a system is called *parametrised*, and belongs to a subset of all *singular systems*. The Einstein–Hilbert action is also parametrised.
- **33.2** Calculate the canonical four-momentum  $P_{\mu} = P_{\mu}(x^{\nu}, \dot{x}^{\rho}) := \partial L/\partial \dot{x}^{\mu}$  of the particle. Show that its partial inverse  $\dot{x}^{\mu} = v^{\mu}(x^{\nu}, P_{\rho})$  does *not* exist.

  \*Remark. Such a non-existence is the defining property of a singular system, which is often a synonym for constrained system. The Maxwell theory is also singular, but not parametrised.
- **33.3** Calculate  $\dot{x}^{\mu}P_{\mu}(x^{\nu},\dot{x}^{\rho}) L(x^{\nu},\dot{x}^{\rho})$ . *Remark.* This result can be shown to be universal for all parametrised systems.