**Patrick Peter Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris** GRECO

# Out-ok-equilibrium quantum cosmology







## + S. Vitenti & A. Valentini



### 569. Wilhelm and Else Heraeus Seminar

# $ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta) \left\{ (1+2\Phi) d\eta^{2} - \left[ (1-2\Phi) \gamma_{ij} + h_{ij} \right] dx^{i} dx^{j} \right\}$

 $i\hbar \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} |\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H} |\psi(t)\rangle$ 



Classical temperature fluctuations

 $\frac{\Delta T}{T} \propto v ~\sim \Phi \sim \delta g_{00}$ 



Classical temperature fluctuations promoted to quantum operators

 $\frac{\widehat{\Delta T}}{T} \propto \hat{v} \sim \Phi \sim \delta g_{00}$ 



Classical temperature fluctuations promoted to quantum operators

$$\frac{\widehat{\Delta T}}{T} \propto \hat{v} \sim \Phi \sim \delta g$$

second order perturbed Einstein action  $(2)\delta S$ 



$$= \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[ (v')^2 - \delta^{ij} \partial_i v \partial_j v + \frac{\left(a\sqrt{\epsilon_1}\right)''}{a\sqrt{\epsilon_1}} v^2 \right]$$

Classical temperature fluctuations promoted to quantum operators

$$\frac{\widehat{\Delta T}}{T} \propto \hat{v} \sim \Phi \sim \delta g$$





Classical temperature fluctuations promoted to quantum operators

$$\frac{\widehat{\Delta T}}{T} \propto \hat{v} \sim \Phi \sim \delta g$$

second order perturbed Einstein action  $^{(2)}\delta S$ 

variable-mass scalar fields in Minkowski s



$$= \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[ (v')^2 - \delta^{ij} \partial_i v \partial_j v + \frac{\left(a\sqrt{\epsilon_1}\right)''}{a\sqrt{\epsilon_1}} v^2 \right]$$
  
pacetime  
$$\epsilon_1 = 1 - \mathcal{H}'/\mathcal{H}^2$$
  
slow-roll parameter

Classical temperature fluctuations promoted to quantum operators

$$\frac{\widehat{\Delta T}}{T} \propto \hat{v} \sim \Phi \sim \delta g$$

second order perturbed Einstein action  $^{(2)}\delta S$  =

variable-mass scalar fields in Minkowski spacetime

+ Fourier transform  $v(\eta, \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{d}^3 \boldsymbol{k} \, v_{\boldsymbol{k}} \, ($ 

$$^{(2)}\delta S = \int \mathrm{d}\eta \int \mathrm{d}^3 \boldsymbol{k} \left\{ v'_{\boldsymbol{k}} v^{*'}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \right\}$$

Lagrangian formulation...

Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[ (v')^2 - \delta^{ij} \partial_i v \partial_j v + \frac{\left(a\sqrt{\epsilon_1}\right)''}{a\sqrt{\epsilon_1}} v^2 \right]$$

$$\epsilon_1 = 1 - \mathcal{H}'/\mathcal{H}^2$$
  
slow-roll parameter

$$(\eta) \mathrm{e}^{i m{k} \cdot m{a}}$$

$$+ v_{\boldsymbol{k}} v_{\boldsymbol{k}}^* \left[ \frac{\left( a \sqrt{\epsilon_1} \right)''}{a \sqrt{\epsilon_1}} - k^2 \right] \right\}$$

Hamiltonian

$$H = \int \mathrm{d}^{3}\boldsymbol{k} \left\{ p_{\boldsymbol{k}} p_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{*} + v_{\boldsymbol{k}} v_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{*} \left[ k^{2} \right] \right\}$$

collection of parametric oscillators with time dependent frequency

factorization of the full wave function  $\Psi \left[ v(\eta, \boldsymbol{x}) \right] = \prod \Psi_{\boldsymbol{k}} \left( v_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{R}}, v_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{I}} \right) = \prod \Psi_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{R}} \left( v_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{R}} \right) \Psi_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{I}} \left( v_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{I}} \right)$  $i\frac{\Psi_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{R},\mathrm{I}}}{\partial\eta} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{R},\mathrm{I}}\Psi_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{R},\mathrm{I}}$  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{R,I}} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \left(v_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{R,I}}\right)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2(\eta, \boldsymbol{k}) \left(\hat{v}_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathrm{R,I}}\right)^2$ 

 $\omega^{2}\left(\eta,oldsymbol{k}
ight)$  $-\frac{\left(a\sqrt{\epsilon_1}\right)''}{a\sqrt{\epsilon_1}}$ 

- real and imaginary parts





Gaussian state solution  $\Psi(\eta, v_k) = \left[\frac{2\Re e \,\Omega_k(\eta)}{\pi}\right]^{1/2}$ 

Wigner function  $W(v_{k}, p_{k}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{2\pi^{2}} \Psi^{*} \left(v_{k} - \frac{x}{2}\right)$ 



$$4 e^{-\Omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\eta)v_{\boldsymbol{k}}^2}$$

$$\left( \frac{x}{2} \right) e^{-ip_{k}x} \Psi \left( v_{k} + \frac{x}{2} \right)$$

Gaussian state solution  $\Psi(\eta, v_k) = \left[\frac{2\Re e \,\Omega_k(\eta)}{\pi}\right]^{1/2}$ 

Wigner function  $W(v_{k}, p_{k}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{2\pi^{2}} \Psi^{*} \left(v_{k} - \frac{x}{2}\right)$ 



### Animation provided by V. Vennin

$$4 e^{-\Omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\eta)v_{\boldsymbol{k}}^2}$$

$$\left( \frac{x}{2} \right) e^{-ip_{k}x} \Psi \left( v_{k} + \frac{x}{2} \right)$$

# **Primordial Power Spectrum** Standard case

Quantization in the Schrödinger picture (functional representation)

with 
$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\pmb{k}} = \frac{\hat{p}_{\pmb{k}}^2}{2} + \omega^2(\pmb{k},\eta)\hat{v}_{\pmb{k}}^2$$

and 
$$\omega^2(\mathbf{k},\eta) = k^2 - \frac{(a\sqrt{\epsilon_1})''}{a\sqrt{\epsilon_1}}$$

$$=k^2 - \frac{\beta(\beta+1)}{\eta^2}$$

Parametric Oscillator System

Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

 $i\frac{\mathrm{d}|\Psi_{\boldsymbol{k}}\rangle}{\mathrm{d}\eta} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\boldsymbol{k}}|\Psi_{\boldsymbol{k}}\rangle \quad \text{Power-law inflation example}$  $\hat{v}_{k} = v_{k}$  $\hat{p}_{k} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{k}}$  $a(\eta) = \ell_0 (-\eta)^{1+\beta}$  $\beta \leq -2$ (de Sitter:  $\beta = -2$ )

# Primordial Power Spectrum Standard case

Quantization in the Schrödinger picture (functional representation)

 $\Psi_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\eta, v_{\boldsymbol{k}}) =$ 

 $i \frac{\mathrm{d} |\Psi_{\pmb{k}}\rangle}{\mathrm{d}\eta} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\pmb{k}} |\Psi_{\pmb{k}}\rangle$  with

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}' = -2i\Omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} + \frac{i}{2}\omega^{2}(\eta, \mathbf{k})$$
$$\Omega_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{i}{2}\frac{f_{\mathbf{k}}'}{f_{\mathbf{k}}}$$
$$f_{\mathbf{k}}''$$

$$\left[\frac{2 \Re e \Omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\eta)}{\pi}\right]^{1/4} e^{-\Omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\eta) v_{\boldsymbol{k}}^2}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \frac{\hat{p}_{\boldsymbol{k}}^2}{2} + \omega^2(\boldsymbol{k},\eta)\hat{v}_{\boldsymbol{k}}^2$$

$$+\omega^2(\boldsymbol{k},\eta)f_{\boldsymbol{k}}=0$$











 $v_{\boldsymbol{k}}'' + \left[\boldsymbol{k}^2 - U(\eta)\right] v_{\boldsymbol{k}} = 0$ 

Vacuum state



## **Initial conditions fixed!**

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\Psi}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \left[ E - U(x) \right] \Psi = 0$ 

(time independent Schrödinger equation)





Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

## Transmission & Reflexion coefficients!

 $v_{\boldsymbol{k}}'' + \left[\boldsymbol{k}^2 - U(\eta)\right] v_{\boldsymbol{k}} = 0$ 

Vacuum state



## **Initial conditions fixed!**

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\Psi}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \left[ E - U(x) \right] \Psi = 0$ 

(time independent Schrödinger equation)





Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

Transmission & Reflexion coefficients!

# **Primordial Power Spectrum** Standard case

$$\underbrace{f_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\prime\prime} + \omega^2(\boldsymbol{k}, \eta) f_{\boldsymbol{k}} = 0}_{\text{Uniquely determines } f_{\boldsymbol{k}}} \text{ with } \omega^2(\boldsymbol{k}, \eta) = k^2 - \frac{\beta(\beta+1)}{\eta^2} \text{ and } f_{\boldsymbol{k}}(k\eta \to -\infty) = e^{ik\eta}/\sqrt{2k}$$



Evaluated at the end of inflation( $k\eta \rightarrow 0^-$ ), this

and eventually 
$$P_{\zeta}(k) = \frac{1}{2a^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2 \epsilon_1} P_v(k) = A_S$$

with 
$$n_{\rm S} = 2\beta + 5 \underset{\beta \sim -2}{\simeq} 1$$

Planck:  $1 - n_{\rm s} = 0.0389 \pm 0.0054$ 

gives 
$$P_v(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^3} \left( \langle \hat{v}_k^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{v}_k \rangle^2 \right)$$



Planck + ACT + SPT data



## Theoretical prediction (quantum vacuum fluctuations)

### **Quantum mechanics**

Physical system = Hilbert space of configurations State vectors Observables = self-adjoint operators Measurement = eigenvalue

Evolution = Schrödinger equation (time translat

**Born rule**  $\operatorname{Prob}[a_n; t] = |\langle a_n | \psi(t) \rangle|^2$ 

Collapse of the wavefunction:  $|\psi(t)\rangle$  before measurement,  $|a_n\rangle$  after

Schrödinger equation = linear (superposition principle) / unitary evolution

Wavepacket reduction = non linear / stochastic

perators  

$$A|a_n\rangle = a_n|a_n\rangle$$
  
tion invariance)  $i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}|\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H}|\psi(t)\rangle$   
Hamiltonian

Mutually incompatible

# **Predictions for a quantum theory**

Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

# Calculated by quantum average $\, \langle \Psi | \hat{O} | \Psi \rangle \,$



# **Predictions for a quantum theory**

Calculated by quantum average  $\langle \Psi | \hat{O} | \Psi 
angle$ 

### Usually in a lab: repeat the experiment

Ensemble average over experiments





# Predictions for a quantum theory

Calculated by quantum av

Usually in a lab: repeat the experiment



Here one has a single experiment (a single universe)



Spatial average over directions in the sky

verage 
$$\langle \Psi | \hat{O} | \Psi 
angle$$





Modal interpretation

**Superselection rules** 

- **Consistent** histories
- Many worlds / many minds
- Hidden variables
- ▲ Modified Schrödinger dynamics

Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

A. Bassi & G.C. Ghirardi, Phys. Rep. 379, 257 (2003)



- Superselection rules
- Modal interpretation
- **Consistent** histories
- Many worlds / many minds
- Hidden variables
- Modified Schrödinger dynamics



- Superselection rules
- Modal interpretation
- **Consistent** histories
- Many worlds / many minds
- Hidden variables
- Modified Schrödinger dynamics



- Superselection rules Modal interpretation
- **Consistent** histories
- Many worlds / many minds

▲ Hidden variables

Modified Schrödinger dynamics



### **Hidden Variable Theories**

Schrödinger 
$$i\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} = \left[-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + V\right]$$

 $\Psi$ Polar form of the wave function

Hamilton-Jacobi



 $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\left(\nabla S\right)^2}{2m} + V\left(\mathbf{r}\right) + Q\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) = 0$  $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{quantum} \\ \mathbf{potential} \\ \equiv -\frac{1}{2m} \frac{\nabla^2 A}{A} \end{array}$ 

Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

 $(\boldsymbol{r}) \mid \Psi$ 

$$= A\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t\right) \mathrm{e}^{iS(\boldsymbol{r}, t)}$$

# **Ontological interpretation (dBB)**



Louis de Broglie

1927 Solvay meeting and von Neuman mistake ... 'In 1952, I saw the impossible done' (J. Bell)



David Bohm

# **Ontological interpretation (dBB)**



Louis de Broglie (Prince, duke ...)

1927 Solvay meeting and von Neuman mistake ... 'In 1952, I saw the impossible done' (J. Bell)



David Bohm

# **Ontological interpretation (dBB)**



Louis de Broglie (Prince, duke ...)

1927 Solvay meeting and von Neuman mistake ... 'In 1952, I saw the impossible done' (J. Bell)



David Bohm (Communist)

## **Ontological** *formulation* (dBB)



Louis de Broglie (Prince, duke ...)

1927 Solvay meeting and von Neuman mistake ... 'In 1952, I saw the impossible done' (J. Bell)



David Bohm (Communist)
## **Hidden Variable Theories**

Schrödinger 
$$i\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} = \left[-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + V\right]$$

 $\Psi$ Polar form of the wave function

Hamilton-Jacobi



 $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\left(\nabla S\right)^2}{2m} + V\left(\mathbf{r}\right) + Q\left(\mathbf{r},t\right) = 0$  $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{quantum} \\ \mathbf{potential} \\ \equiv -\frac{1}{2m} \frac{\nabla^2 A}{A} \end{array}$ 

Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

 $(\boldsymbol{r}) \mid \Psi$ 

$$= A\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t\right) \mathrm{e}^{iS(\boldsymbol{r}, t)}$$

## **Ontological** *formulation* (dBB)



 $\Psi = A\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t\right) e^{iS(\boldsymbol{r}, t)}$ 



## Trajectories satisfy (de Broglie) $m \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \Im m \frac{\Psi^* \nabla \Psi}{|\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t)|^2} = -\nabla S$

## **Ontological** *formulation* (BdB)

Trajectories satisfy (Bohm)





## **Ontological** *formulation* (dBB)



 $\Psi = A\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t\right) e^{iS(\boldsymbol{r}, t)}$ 



## Trajectories satisfy (de Broglie) $m \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \Im m \frac{\Psi^* \nabla \Psi}{|\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t)|^2} = -\nabla S$

## **Ontological** formulation (dBB) $\exists x(t)$

Properties:

Trajectories satisfy (de Broglie)  $m \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \Im m \frac{\Psi^* \nabla \Psi}{|\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t)|^2} = -\nabla S$ 

- ••
- ••
- state dependent ...
- intrinsic reality
  - non local ...
- ••

$$\Psi = A\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t\right) e^{iS(\boldsymbol{r}, t)}$$

strictly equivalent to Copenhagen QM probability distribution (attractor)  $\exists t_0; \rho(\boldsymbol{x}, t_0) = |\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t_0)|^2$ 

classical limit well defined  $Q \longrightarrow 0$ 

no need for external classical domain/observer!

## **Ontological** formulation (dBB) $\exists x(t)$

 $m\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \Im m \frac{\Psi^* \boldsymbol{\nabla} \Psi}{|\Psi(\boldsymbol{x},t)|^2} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} S$ Trajectories satisfy (de Broglie)

Properties:

- strictly equivalent to Copenhagen QM •• probability distribution (attractor)  $\exists t_0; \rho(\boldsymbol{x}, t_0) = |\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, t_0)|^2$
- classical limit well defined  $Q \longrightarrow 0$ ...
- state dependent ••
- intrinsic reality
  - non local ...
- no need for external classical domain/observer! ••

$$\Psi = A\left(\boldsymbol{r}, t\right) e^{iS(\boldsymbol{r}, t)}$$



... a phenomenon which is impossible, *absolutely* impossible, to explain in any classical way, and which has in it the heart of quantum mechanics. Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014 R. P. Feynman (1961)



... a phenomenon which is impossible, *absolutely* impossible, to explain in any classical way, and which has in it the heart of quantum mechanics. Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014 R. P. Feynman (1961)



... a phenomenon which is impossible, *absolutely* impossible, to explain in any classical way, and which has in it the heart of quantum mechanics. Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014 R. P. Feynman (1961)

Surrealistic trajectories?

## Non straight in vacuum...



... a phenomenon which is impossible, *absolutely* impossible, to explain in any classical way, and which has in it the heart of quantum mechanics. Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014 R. P. Feynman (1961)

Surrealistic trajectories?

## Non straight in vacuum...

$$m\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x(t)}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = -\nabla\left(V + Q\right)$$



... a phenomenon which is impossible, *absolutely* impossible, to explain in any classical way, and which has in it the heart of quantum mechanics. Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014 R. P. Feynman (1961)

*Surrealistic* trajectories?





... a phenomenon which is impossible, *absolutely* impossible, to explain in any classical way, and which has in it the heart of quantum mechanics. Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014 R. P. Feynman (1961)

*Surrealistic* trajectories?





<u>Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014</u>



Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

# $ho_{ m ini} eq |\Psi|^2 onumber \ oldsymbol{v}_{ m ini} \propto oldsymbol{ abla} S$



QM

 $\rho = |\Psi|^2$ 

## 2nd order $ho_{ m ini} eq |\Psi|^2$ $m{v}_{ m ini} eq abla \ \mathbf{\nabla} S$

Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

## $ho_{ m ini} eq |\Psi|^2 onumber \ oldsymbol{v}_{ m ini} \propto oldsymbol{ abla} S$



## 2nd order $\rho_{\rm ini} \neq |\Psi|^2$ $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{ini}} \not\propto \boldsymbol{\nabla}S$



## 2nd order $ho_{ m ini} eq |\Psi|^2$ $m{v}_{ m ini} eq abla \ \mathbf{\nabla} S$



## 1st order: can be tested

## 2nd order: has been tested...

and is ruled out!



## 1st order: can be tested

## 2nd order: has been tested...

and is ruled out!



*How*????



## 1st order: can be tested

## 2nd order: has been tested...

and is ruled out!

Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

How????



Primordial Perturbation Theory





Density of actual configurations

$$\rho(x, y, t) \implies \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\rho \dot{x}\right)$$

Energy eigenfunctions  $\phi_{mn}(x,y) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin(mx) \sin(ny)$ Energy levels  $E_{mn} = \frac{1}{2} (m^2 + n^2)$ 

 $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\rho \dot{x}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\rho \dot{y}\right) = 0 \qquad \text{continuity equation}$ 

### Initial configuration





### Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

 $\psi(x, y, 0) = \sum_{m, n=1}^{4} \frac{1}{4} \phi_{mn}(x, y) \exp(i\theta_{mn})$ 

 $\psi(x, y, t) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{4} \frac{1}{4} \phi_{mn}(x, y) \exp i(\theta_{mn} - E_{mn}t)$ 



## Dynamical evolutions



ρ

### <u>Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014</u>

 $|\Psi|^2$ 

## *Typical quantum trajectory...*

### Close-up of a trajectory near a node



Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

0

3

2

у





<u>Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014</u>



4 2 0 -2 -4 -2 0 2 -4 4  $ilde{
ho}_{ ext{QT}}(t=5\pi)$ 4 2 0 -2 -2 -4 0 2 4

 $ilde{
ho}_{
m QT}(t=0)$ 

 $ilde{
ho}_{
m QT}(t=10\pi)$ 



Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

chaotic mixing...

relaxation towards equilibrium

just like ordinary thermal equilibrium





Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

chaotic mixing...

relaxation towards equilibrium

just like ordinary thermal equilibrium



possibly slightly smaller width for low number of modes...

Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

chaotic mixing...

relaxation towards equilibrium

just like ordinary thermal equilibrium



$$H = \int \mathrm{d}^{3}\boldsymbol{k} \left\{ p_{\boldsymbol{k}} p_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{*} + v_{\boldsymbol{k}} v_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{*} \left[ k^{2} \right] \right\}$$

collection of parametric oscillators with time dependent frequency

Simpler model: spectator scalar field in an expanding and finite size Universe

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\sqrt{V}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left( q_{\mathbf{k}1} + iq_{\mathbf{k}2} \right) \qquad H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \ r=1,2} \frac{1}{2a^3} \pi_{\mathbf{k}r}^2 + \frac{1}{2}ak^2 q_{\mathbf{k}r}^2$$

 $\omega^{2}\left(\eta,oldsymbol{k}
ight)$  $\frac{\left(a\sqrt{\epsilon_1}\right)''}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1}}$ 



$$H = \int \mathrm{d}^{3}\boldsymbol{k} \left\{ p_{\boldsymbol{k}} p_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{*} + v_{\boldsymbol{k}} v_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{*} \left[ k^{2} \right] \right\}$$

collection of parametric oscillators with time dependent frequency

Simpler model: spectator scalar field in an expanding and finite size Universe

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\sqrt{V}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left( q_{\mathbf{k}1} + iq_{\mathbf{k}2} \right) \qquad H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \ r=1,2} \frac{1}{2a^3} \pi_{\mathbf{k}r}^2 + \frac{1}{2}ak^2 q_{\mathbf{k}r}^2$$

 $\omega^{2}\left(\eta,oldsymbol{k}
ight)$  $\frac{\left(a\sqrt{\epsilon_1}\right)''}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1}}$ 



$$H = \int \mathrm{d}^{3}\boldsymbol{k} \left\{ p_{\boldsymbol{k}} p_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{*} + v_{\boldsymbol{k}} v_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{*} \left[ k^{2} \right] \right\}$$

collection of parametric oscillators with time dependent frequency

Simpler model: spectator scalar field in an expanding and finite size Universe

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\sqrt{V}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left( q_{\mathbf{k}1} + iq_{\mathbf{k}2} \right) \qquad H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \ r=1,2} \frac{1}{2a^3} \pi_{\mathbf{k}r}^2 + \frac{1}{2}ak^2 q_{\mathbf{k}r}^2$$

$$a^3 \to m$$
  
 $k/a \to \omega$ 

 $\omega^{2}\left(\eta,oldsymbol{k}
ight)$  $\frac{\left(a\sqrt{\epsilon_{1}}\right)}{a\sqrt{\epsilon_{1}}}$ 



$$H = \int \mathrm{d}^{3}\boldsymbol{k} \left\{ p_{\boldsymbol{k}} p_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{*} + v_{\boldsymbol{k}} v_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{*} \left[ k^{2} \right] \right\}$$

collection of parametric oscillators with time dependent frequency

Simpler model: spectator scalar field in an expanding and finite size Universe

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\sqrt{V}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left( q_{\mathbf{k}1} + iq_{\mathbf{k}2} \right) \qquad H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \ r=1,2} \frac{1}{2a^3} \pi_{\mathbf{k}r}^2 + \frac{1}{2}ak^2 q_{\mathbf{k}r}^2$$

$$a^3 \to m$$
  
 $k/a \to \omega$ 

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \sum_{r=1}^{2} \left( -\frac{\partial_{r}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^{2}q_{r}^{2} \right)$$

dBB trajectory of the field component  $\dot{q}_r = m^{-1}\Im m$ 

Statistical distribution  $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \sum \partial_r \left(\frac{\rho}{m} \Im \left(\frac{\partial_r \psi}{\psi}\right)\right)$ 

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \sum_{r=1}^{2} \left( -\frac{\partial_{r}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^{2}q_{r}^{2} \right)$$

Relaxation of a 2D harmonic oscillator (time dependent mass & frequency)

(constant mass & frequency)

Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014



-2 0 2 4  $\tilde{
ho}'(0.5t_{\mathrm{enter}})$ 

 $\tilde{
ho}'(t_i)$ 

0

 $ilde{
ho}'(t_{
m enter})$ 

-2

-2

0

2

4

2

4

 $ilde{
ho}_{ ext{QT}}'(t_i)$ 



 $ilde{
ho}'_{
m QT}(0.5t_{
m enter})$ 



 ${\widetilde{
ho}}'_{
m QT}(t_{
m enter})$ 



## **Out-of-equilibrium time evolution**

- Usual behaviour = evolves towards equilibrium (Minkowski or slowly expanding Universe)
- expansion: there is a retarded time... 0



## **Out-of-equilibrium time evolution**

- Usual behaviour = evolves towards equilibrium (Minkowski or slowly expanding Universe)
- Inflation: conservation of shape 0



## **Out-of-equilibrium time evolution**

- Usual behaviour = evolves towards equilibrium (Minkowski or slowly expanding Universe)
- Inflation: conservation of shape 0



Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

## Freezing the pdf out of equilibrium
$\tilde{
ho}'(t_i)$ 

 $ilde{
ho}_{ ext{QT}}'(t_i)$ 

4

4



without expansion



### with expansion

S. Colin & A. Valentini, *Phys. Rev.* D88 103515 (2013)

$$H \equiv \int \mathrm{d}q \,\rho \ln\left(\frac{\rho}{|\Psi|^2}\right)$$

### measures "out-of-equilibrium-ness"



## A simplified model



Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

 $\frac{\Delta T}{T}$ 



# Initial out-of-equilibrium conditions

S. Colin & A. Valentini, arXiv:1407.8262

0.9 0.8 0.7  $\xi^{0.6}$ 0.5 Best fit 0.4 0.3  $\xi(k) = \tan^{-1}$  $c_1$ 0.2 0.1<sup>∟</sup>0 10 20 30  $\frac{k}{\pi}$ 

Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

 $\mathcal{P}(k) = \mathcal{P}(k)_{\mathrm{QE}} \xi(k)$ 

width deficit 🛩



















Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

### **Very long wavelengths**







Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

### **Very long wavelengths**









### **Better fit???**



### Results...

## work in progress!

### Usual Planck best-fit



Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

### with S. Vitenti & A. Valentini





with only one parameter added, others held fixed:



$$\xi(k) = \tan^{-1} \left[ c_1 \left( \frac{k}{k_{\star}} \right) + c_2 \right] + c_3 - \frac{\pi}{2}$$
  
3.0 0.85







# Constrained model





demands a very red primordial spectrum





<u>Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014</u>

$$\xi(k) = \tan^{-1} \left[ c_1 \left( \frac{k}{k_{\star}} \right) + c_2 \right] + c_3 - \frac{\pi}{2}$$

# much smaller quantum scale



not very conclusive, but seems to favor  $c_3 \ge 1$ 







# Full model





# still redder primordial spectrum, but converging!





$$\xi(k) = \tan^{-1} \left[ c_1 \left( \frac{k}{k_{\star}} \right) + c_2 \right] + c_3 - \frac{\pi}{2}$$

2 possible options: very large & small quantum scale





# still not very conclusive, but definitely favors

Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014



favors  $c_3 \ge 1$ 

### summary for the constrained model:







## Conclusions

### <u>Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014</u>
### dBB = testable formulation of QM (1)

### dBB = testable formulation of QM (1)

quantum non-equilibrium may produce new effects (2)

Bad Honnef - Jul. 28, 2014

- dBB = testable formulation of QM (1)
- quantum non-equilibrium may produce new effects (2)
- most systems did reach equilibrium (3)



- dBB = testable formulation of QM (1)
- quantum non-equilibrium may produce new effects (2)
- most systems did reach equilibrium (3)
- primordial perturbations maybe not... (4)

- dBB = testable formulation of QM (1)
- quantum non-equilibrium may produce new effects (2)
- most systems did reach equilibrium (3)
- primordial perturbations maybe not... (4)
- specific shape for the primordial spectrum (5)

- dBB = testable formulation of QM (1)
- quantum non-equilibrium may produce new effects (2)
- most systems did reach equilibrium (3)
- primordial perturbations maybe not... (4)
- specific shape for the primordial spectrum (5)
- comparable with data! (6)

- dBB = testable formulation of QM (1)
- quantum non-equilibrium may produce new effects (2)
- most systems did reach equilibrium (3)
- primordial perturbations maybe not... (4)
- specific shape for the primordial spectrum (5)
- comparable with data! (6)
- not incompatible with Planck... for the time being! (7)

- dBB = testable formulation of QM (1)
- quantum non-equilibrium may produce new effects (2)
- most systems did reach equilibrium (3)
- primordial perturbations maybe not... (4)
- specific shape for the primordial spectrum (5)
- comparable with data! (6)
- not incompatible with Planck... for the time being! (7)

(1) *caveats*...