

## Exercise 1 &amp; 2

Tutor: Chae-Yeun Park

Oct. 14

## Exercise 1

**Solution to 1.1** Note that each column of  $G$  is a codeword. By the definition of  $H$ ,  $HG = 0$ .

**Solution to 1.2** For  $v = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ ,  $Hv$  can be written as

$$Hv = \sum_{i=1}^N h^i v_i \quad (1)$$

where  $h^i$  is a  $i$ -th column of  $H$ . Suppose that there exists  $v \neq 0$  that  $Hv = 0$  and  $wt(v) \leq d - 1$ . Let  $A \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$  be a set of indices  $i$  such that  $v_i \neq 0$ . Then  $\sum_{i \in A} h^i v_i = 0$  and  $|A| = wt(v)$ . This is a contradiction to the assumption that any  $d - 1$  columns of  $H$  are linearly independent. Thus, the distance of the code is  $\geq d$ . On the other hand, let  $B$  be a set of indices of  $d$  columns of  $H$  that are linearly dependent so  $\sum_{i \in B} h_i = 0$ . Consider a vector  $w = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  that  $w_i = 1$  when  $i \in B$  and  $w_i = 0$  elsewhere then  $Hw = 0$  so  $w$  is a codeword and  $wt(w) = |B| = d$ . This shows that the distance of the code is  $d$ .

**Solution to 1.3** Recall the theorem of the linear algebra that the row rank and the column rank of a matrix are the same. Then  $n - k = (\text{row-rank of } H) = (\text{column rank of } H) \geq (d - 1)$ .

**Solution to 1.4** We prove the Gilbert-Varshamov bound using a greedy construction. Let  $V = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  be the whole vector space and  $C_0 = \{0^n\}$  be an initial codespace. At each step  $i$ , we find a vector  $v$  that is distance  $\geq 2t + 1$  from all  $C_{i-1}$  and add it to a codespace. As we here consider a linear code, a new codespace is given by  $C_i = C_{i-1} \cup \{v + w | w \in C_{i-1}\}$ . This procedure terminates when  $|\{w | \exists v \in V \text{ such that } d(w, v) \leq 2t\}| \geq |V| = 2^n$  because we can find another such  $v$  otherwise. The left hand side is upper bounded by  $2^k \text{Vol}(2t)$  where  $|C_i| = 2^k$  is the number of codewords and  $\text{Vol}(2t) = |\{w | d(0, w) \leq 2t\}|$ . Then the proof is straightforward by the following theorem.

**Theorem 1**  $\text{Vol}(u) \leq 2^{nH(u/n)}$

**Proof:** First, note that  $\text{Vol}(u) = \sum_{i=0}^u \binom{n}{i}$ . Then the following inequality is obtained:

$$1 = \left(\frac{u}{n} + \left(1 - \frac{u}{n}\right)\right)^n \quad (2)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \left(\frac{u}{n}\right)^i \left(1 - \frac{u}{n}\right)^{n-i} \quad (3)$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=0}^u \binom{n}{i} \left(\frac{u}{n}\right)^i \left(1 - \frac{u}{n}\right)^{n-i} \quad (4)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^u \binom{n}{i} \left(1 - \frac{u}{n}\right)^n \left(\frac{u/n}{1 - u/n}\right)^i \quad (5)$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=0}^u \binom{n}{i} \left(1 - \frac{u}{n}\right)^n \left(\frac{u/n}{1 - u/n}\right)^u \quad (6)$$

$$= \text{Vol}(u) \left(1 - \frac{u}{n}\right)^{n-u} \left(\frac{u}{n}\right)^u. \quad (7)$$

Eq. 6 is from  $\left(\frac{u/n}{1 - u/n}\right) \leq 1$  and  $u \geq i$  in the summation. The remaining term can be arranged as

$$\left(1 - \frac{u}{n}\right)^{n-u} \left(\frac{u}{n}\right)^u = 2^{(n-u) \log_2(1 - u/n) + u \log_2(u/n)} = 2^{-nH(u/n)}$$

where  $H(x) = -x \log_2 x - (1 - x) \log_2(1 - x)$ . Thus,

$$1 \geq \text{Vol}(u) 2^{-nH(u/n)} \quad (8)$$

and the desired inequality is obtained. ■

**Note** The proof here is based on that by Gilbert and not restricted to a linear code. Varshamov's proof utilizes the linearity of a code and non-constructive. If you are interested, you may check the Wikipedia page "GV-linear-code".

## Exercise 2

**Solution** The classical repetition code  $[n, 1, n]$  has the code distance  $n$  so an error up to  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  bits can be corrected. This implies that an error  $e \in \{0, 1\}^n$  yields a logical error when  $wt(e) \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$ . Let  $wt(e) = l$  then there are  $\binom{n}{l}$  different configurations of error and the probability of each configuration is given by  $p^l(1 - p)^{n-l}$ . Thus the logical error probability is given as

$$P_{\text{logical}} = \sum_{l=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1}^n \binom{n}{l} p^l (1 - p)^{n-l}. \quad (9)$$

This is nothing but the tail distribution of the binomial distribution. To obtain an approximated expression, let us define  $f(l/n) = \binom{n}{l} p^l (1 - p)^{n-l}$ . Using the Stirling's formula  $\log(n!) \approx n \log n - n$  (note that we here consider the asymptotic limit  $n \gg 1$ ), we can approximate

$$\log \binom{n}{l} \approx n \left[ -\frac{l}{n} \log \frac{l}{n} - \left(1 - \frac{l}{n}\right) \log \left(1 - \frac{l}{n}\right) \right] \quad (10)$$

$$= nH(l/n). \quad (11)$$

where we have used  $H(x) = -x \log(x) - (1-x) \log(1-x)$  that is also used in the above problem. It is also simple to show that

$$p^l(1-p)^{n-l} = \exp\left\{n\left[\frac{l}{n} \log p + \left(1 - \frac{l}{n}\right) \log(1-p)\right]\right\}. \quad (12)$$

To sum up,

$$f(l/n) \approx \exp\left\{n\left[H(l/n) + \frac{l}{n} \log p + \left(1 - \frac{l}{n}\right) \log(1-p)\right]\right\}. \quad (13)$$

Recall that  $f(l/n)$  is a binomial distribution centered at  $l/n = p$ , for  $l/n > p$  (which is true by the assumption that  $p \leq 1/2$ ) the sum  $\sum_{l \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} f(l/n)$  is dominated by the value when  $l = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$  (one may use the central limit theorem). Thus, we obtain

$$\sum_{l > n/2} f(l/n) \approx \exp\left\{n\left[H(1/2) + \frac{1}{2} \log p + \frac{1}{2} \log(1-p)\right]\right\}. \quad (14)$$

As  $H(1/2) + 1/2 \log p + 1/2 \log(1-p) < 0$  for  $0 \leq p < 1/2$ , we obtain  $P_{\text{logical}} < e^{-\alpha n}$  for  $0 \leq p < 1/2$ . Thus the error threshold is  $p = 1/2$ .

**Note 1** In fact, the Stirling's formula we have used is correct proportionally, i.e.  $\log(n!)/(n \log n - n) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . And the summation also introduces another error term. When we trace all error terms in the approximation, we obtain extra  $o(n)$  term in the exponent. Of course, this does not alter the final result.

**Note 2** More simple proof is also possible using the Markov's (or Chebyshev's) inequality. Using this, we obtain  $P(X \geq an) = P(e^{\beta X} \geq e^{\beta an}) \leq E[e^{\beta X}]/e^{\beta an} = [e^{-a\beta}(1-p+pe^{\beta})]^n$  for all  $\beta > 0$ . Letting  $\beta$  that minimize the last equality and set  $a = 1/2$  yield Eq. (14) in an inequality form.