Correlations of Record Events as a Test for Heavy-Tailed Distributions
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A record is an entry in a time series that is larger or smaller than all previous entries. If the time series consists of independent, identically distributed random variables with a superimposed linear trend, record events are positively (negatively) correlated when the tail of the distribution is heavier (lighter) than exponential. Here we use these correlations to detect heavy-tailed behavior in small sets of independent random variables. The method consists of converting random subsets of the data into time series with a tunable linear drift and computing the resulting record correlations.

Determining the probability distribution underlying a given data set or at least its behavior for large argument is of pivotal importance for predicting the behavior of the system: If the data are drawn from a distribution with heavy tails, one needs to prepare for large events. Of particular relevance is the case when the probability density displays a power law decay, as this implies a drastic enhancement of the probability of extreme events. This is one of the reasons for the persistent interest in the observation and modeling of power law distributions, which have been associated with critical, scale-invariant behavior [1,2] in diverse contexts ranging from complex networks [3] to paleontology [4], foraging behavior of animals [5], citation distributions [6], and many more [7].

However, when trying to infer the tail behavior of the underlying distribution from a finite data set, one faces the problem that the number of entries of large absolute value is very small. This implies that even though binning the entries by magnitude and plotting them would yield an approximate representation of the probability density, this process becomes inconclusive, in particular, in the tail of the probability density. Furthermore, in small data sets, extreme outliers can strongly affect the results of methods like maximum likelihood estimators such that leaving out even one of these extreme and possibly spurious data points renders the outcome of the test insignificant. A case in point is the problem of estimating the distribution of fitness effects of beneficial mutations in evolution experiments, which are expected on theoretical ground to conform to one of the universality classes of extreme value theory (EVT) [8]. Because beneficial mutations are rare, the corresponding data sets are typically limited to a few dozen values, and the determination of the tail behavior can be very challenging [9,10].

In this Letter, we present a method for detecting heavy tails in empirical data that works reliably for small data sets (on the order of a few dozen entries) and is robust with respect to removal of extreme entries. The test is based on the statistics of records of subsamples of the data set. Similar to conventional record-based statistical tests [11–13], and in contrast to the bulk of methods available in this field [7], our approach is nonparametric and, hence, does not require any hypothesis about the underlying distribution. Rather than aiming at reliable estimates of the parameters of the distribution (such as the power law exponent), the main purpose of our method is to distinguish between distributions that are heavy-tailed and those that are not.

Record statistics and record correlations.—Given a time series \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\} \) of random variables (RVs), the \( n \)th RV is said to be a record if it exceeds all previous RVs \( \{x_j\}_{j<n} \) [12,14]. For independent, identically distributed (i.i.d.) RVs, it is straightforward to see that the probability \( p_n \) for the \( n \)th entry to be a record is simply \( p_n = 1/n \), because any of the \( n \) RVs is equally likely to be the largest. Furthermore, record events are stochastically independent in this case [12,14] and hence the joint probability \( p_{n,n-1} \) that both \( x_{n-1} \) and \( x_n \) are records factorizes to \( p_{n,n-1} = p_n p_{n-1} \).

In a recent surge of interest [15–19], record statistics has been explored beyond the classical situation of i.i.d. RVs, and it has been found that the stochastic independence of record events is largely restricted to the i.i.d. case. In particular, for time series constructed from the linear drift model [18,20]

\[
x_n = cn + \eta_n,
\]

where \( c > 0 \) is a constant and \( \{\eta_n\} \) a family of i.i.d. RVs with distribution \( F(\eta) \), and density \( f(\eta) \), correlations between record events were quantified by considering the ratio [21]

\[
I_{n,n-1}(c) = \frac{p_{n,n-1}(c)}{p_n(c)p_{n-1}(c)}.
\]

For stochastically independent record events, \( I_{n,n-1}(c) = 1 \) and any positive (negative) deviation from unity can be interpreted as a sign of attraction (repulsion) between record events. In [21] both cases were found depending on the distribution \( F(\eta) \). Specifically, an expansion to first order in \( c \) yields \( I_{n,n-1}(c) = 1 + cI(n) + O(c^2) \) with \( I(n) = -\frac{1}{2}n^3[I(n) - I(n-1)] - n^3I(n) \) where
\[ I(n) = \int d\eta \eta^2(\eta) I^n(\eta), \] (3)

and clearly \( I(n) - I(n - 1) < 0 \). Thus for large \( n \), there are two competing contributions to \( J(n) \) determining the sign of the correlations.

To classify the behavior of the correlations in terms of the EVT classes [1,22], consider the generalized Pareto distribution [23] \( f(\eta) = (1 + \kappa \eta)^{-1/(\kappa + 1)} \), which reproduces the three classes as \( \kappa < 0 \) (Weibull), \( \kappa > 0 \) (Fréchet), and \( \kappa = 0 \) (Gumbel), respectively. Computing \( I(n) \) separately for these three cases [18] it was shown that, up to multiplicative terms in \( \log(n) \) or slower, one has \( I(n) \sim n^{-(2+\kappa)} \) and therefore [21] \( J(n) = \xi n^3 I(n) \), showing that the sign of correlations is directly determined by the extreme value index \( \kappa \) [24].

In the Gumbel class (\( \kappa = 0 \)), more refined calculations for the generalized Gaussian densities \( f_\beta(x) = \exp(-|\eta|^\beta) \) show that correlations are negative for \( \beta > 1 \) and positive for \( \beta < 1 \) [21]. The marginal case of a pure exponential distribution also shows positive correlations, but they can be distinguished from the distribution also shows positive correlations, but they can

To sum up, correlations between record events in time series with a linear drift allow a clear distinction between underlying probability densities that decay like an exponential or faster for large argument, and densities with heavier tails, by looking for positive correlations that grow in \( n \). Using these two criteria, we now present a distribution-free test for heavy tails in data sets of i.i.d. random variables.

Description of the test.—Consider a data set with \( N \) entries, \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N \) that can reasonably be argued to consist of independent samples from the same distribution [26]. Then for each \( n < N \), one can pick uniformly at random a subset of \( n \) entries and add a linear trend according to the index in the subset [see Eq. (1)], thus forming a set of random variables with linear trend. For each \( n \), there are \( ^N n \) possible subsets [27], which can be used to compute the fraction of times the \( n \)th entry is a record \( \hat{p}_n(c) \), the corresponding fraction \( \hat{p}_{n-1}(c) \) for the \( n - 1 \)th entry, and the fraction \( \hat{p}_{n,n-1}(c) \) of times both entries are records, for each value of a suitably chosen range of \( c \) [28]. The number \( s \) of subsets used for each value of \( c \) will be referred to as “internal statistics”. Finally, one obtains an estimate for the correlations \( \hat{I}_{n,n-1}(c) = \frac{\hat{p}_n(c) \hat{p}_{n-1}(c)}{\hat{p}_{n,n-1}(c)} \), where the hat serves to indicate that we are dealing with one fixed times series of length \( N \) and its subspheres, rather than many independent realizations. In the following we refer to \( \hat{I}_{n,n-1}(c) \) as the heavy tail indicator (HTI).

To see how the test works in practice, consider Fig. 1. Two data sets of size \( N = 64 \) each are presented, one drawn from a standard Gaussian distribution, the other from a symmetric Lévy-stable distribution with parameter \( \mu = 1.3 \). A standard approach to inferring the shape of the distribution is to estimate the cumulative distribution function by rank ordering the data along the axis (inset). In the example, this shows that one distribution is broader than the other, but does not allow one to distinguish between a difference in scale (as for two Gaussians of different standard deviation) and a difference in shape. In contrast, the two data sets come apart quite clearly under application of the test, showing that \( \hat{I}_{n,n-1}(c) > 1 \) for the Lévy distribution and \( \hat{I}_{n,n-1}(c) < 1 \) for the Gaussian (main figure).

Fluctuations.—The lines in the main part of Fig. 1 show the predicted correlation \( \hat{I}_{n,n-1}(c) \) obtained from simulations of independent RV’s. The estimated HTI \( \hat{I}_{n,n-1}(c) \) obtained from subsamples of the two finite data sets deviates from these predictions, reflecting the fact that the ensemble of subsamples is not independent. The deviations depend on the data set in a random way, compare to Fig. 4, and understanding how the magnitude of the deviations depends on the test parameters \( N, n, s \) is clearly important for a quantitative assessment of the significance of the test. Figure 2 explores these sample-to-sample fluctuations by computing \( \hat{I}_{n,n-1}(c) \) for a large number \( S \) (“external statistics”) of different data sets and recording the mean and the mean squared deviation for different distributions. The fluctuations are large for power law

![FIG. 1. A first example of the proposed test, with \( N = 64 \) i.i.d. RVs drawn from a Gaussian with unit variance (squares) and a symmetric Lévy distribution \( L_\mu(x) \) with \( \mu = 1.3 \) (circles). Inset: Comparing the cumulative distribution function \( F(x) \) (lines) to its empirical estimate from the 64 data points shows that one distribution is broader than the other but does not allow for a clear distinction between the two data sets. Main plot: This difference is, however, clearly seen under application of the record-based test for subsamples of size \( n = 16 \). Dotted and dash-dotted lines show the prediction for \( \hat{I}_{16,15}(c) \) for independent RV’s.](image-url)
distributions and decrease significantly for representatives of the Gumbel and Weibull classes. The latter implies that it is very unlikely for positive correlations to be produced by chance if the underlying distribution is not of heavy tail type; the observation of a HTI exceeding unity can therefore be taken as a strong indication of heavy-tailed behavior in the data.

The effect of the internal statistics on the sample-to-sample fluctuations is quantified in Fig. 3, where their magnitude can be seen to saturate to a limiting value with increasing $s$. Furthermore the limiting value depends on the ratio $n/N$. The smaller a subset of the initial data set is used, the more precise the results can be made by using large internal statistics. This behavior underlines a particular strength of our approach, namely, that the combinatorially large number of subsequences can be used (up to a point) to reduce fluctuations due to the finite size of the data set. On the other hand, $n$ should not be chosen too small, as the amplitude of correlations generally increases with $n$ [21] (see inset of Fig. 2). For the examples presented here, we found $n/N = 1/4$ at $N = 64$ to yield the best compromise between these two contradicting requirements, see also Fig. 3.

Application.—As an application of our approach, we consider a citation data set compiled by the Institute for Scientific Information (ISI) and first analyzed by Redner [6], consisting of citation data for 783 339 papers published in 1981 and cited between 1981 and June 1997. Because of the large size of this data set, the existence of a power law tail with exponent $\mu = 2$ is well established [6,7,29]. Using our record-based approach, the heavy-tailed property could be recovered by considering small, randomly chosen subsets of only $N = 64$ papers each (Fig. 4). Despite the substantial fluctuations between the three subsets, the HTI lies clearly above unity in all cases. The small size of the chosen subsets implies that only a few (if any) data points in the subsets come from the extreme tails of the distribution. The lower panel in Fig. 4 illustrates the robustness of the test with respect to the removal of putative outliers.

Summary.—In conclusion, in this Letter we propose a record-based distribution-free test for heavy tails that works particularly well for small data sets. It was shown that the test is very versatile and quite robust to the removal of outliers, thus complementing standard methods like maximum likelihood estimates [7]. While record statistics

FIG. 2. Sample-to-sample fluctuations of the HTI $l_{n,n-1}$ for different distributions. Lines show mean values of the correlation $l_{16,15}(c)$ obtained from simulations of independent RV’s (labeled exact), symbols show the mean value of the HTI and error bars indicate the standard deviation of the fluctuations for the symmetric Lévy-stable distribution with tail parameter $\mu = 1.3$ and uniform distribution on (0,1) (top), and the Pareto distribution with $\mu = 2.0$ and standard normal distribution (bottom). The HTI was obtained from simulations with internal statistics $s = 10^2$ (Pareto) or $s = 10^5$ (all other) and averaged over $S = 10^5$ independent data sets. Insets show how the correlations at the value $c^* = 0.25$, where correlations deviate maximally from unity, grow as a function of $n$ while keeping $N$ fixed.

FIG. 3. Magnitude of sample-to-sample fluctuations for three of the cases considered in Fig. 2. With increasing internal statistics $s$, the sample-to-sample fluctuations decrease to a limiting value (main plot). This limiting value increases with $n/N$ (inset), indicating that best results in terms of fluctuations are obtained by considering short subsequences. In the main plot, $N = 64$ and $n = 16$. 
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[24] For κ > 1, corresponding to distributions without a mean, the correction term J(n) is a decreasing function of n and the correlations vanish asymptotically for large n. However, for finite n, there are positive correlations of substantial magnitude, and the proposed test is still applicable.
The i.i.d. assumption can be checked using a conventional record-based test, see [12]. Numerical simulations show that weak correlations in the data (e.g., generated by a first order autoregressive process) do not invalidate our method.

In addition, permutations of the subsets can be considered, which are not equivalent in the presence of drift.

The range of $c$ should be adjusted according to the typical spacing between entries of the time series.

But note that the power law is limited to the extreme tails of the distribution containing less than 500 papers. In the intermediate regime, the data are better represented by a stretched exponential [6].