Entanglement and the fermion sign problem in auxiliary field quantum Monte Carlo simulations

Peter Broecker and Simon Trebst

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Cologne, 50937 Cologne, Germany (Received 17 November 2015; revised manuscript received 26 July 2016; published 22 August 2016)

Quantum Monte Carlo simulations of fermions are hampered by the notorious sign problem whose most striking manifestation is an exponential growth of sampling errors with the number of particles. With the sign problem known to be an NP-hard problem and any generic solution thus highly elusive, the Monte Carlo sampling of interacting many-fermion systems is commonly thought to be restricted to a small class of model systems for which a sign-free basis has been identified. Here we demonstrate that entanglement measures, in particular the so-called Rényi entropies, can intrinsically exhibit a certain robustness against the sign problem in auxiliary-field quantum Monte Carlo approaches and possibly allow for the identification of global ground-state properties via their scaling behavior even in the presence of a strong sign problem. We corroborate these findings via numerical simulations of fermionic quantum phase transitions of spinless fermions on the honeycomb lattice at and below half filling.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.94.075144

I. INTRODUCTION

While strongly correlated many-fermion systems exhibit some of the most intriguing collective phenomena such as the formation of high-temperature superconductors [1], non-Fermi liquids [2], or Mott insulators with fractionalized excitations [3], their quantitative microscopic understanding remains one of the grand open challenges of theoretical condensed matter physics. With controlled analytical approaches being scarce, one might naturally turn to numerical analysis tools. However, the workhorse of many-particle simulations for bosonic or spin degrees of freedom-quantum Monte Carlo sampling techniques-is plagued by the notorious sign problem for fermionic systems, as realized early on [4,5]. In short, the sign problem originates from the natural occurrence of negative statistical weights in the fermionic path integral representation tracing back to the minus signs associated with fermion exchange statistics. Ignoring these negative signs to allow for an interpretation as Boltzmann weights in a Monte Carlo approach leads to an exponential growth of statistical errors, and thus computing time, with the number of particles and inverse temperature.

Ever since the early days of quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations it has remained an open problem whether one can systematically overcome this exponential barrier and recover polynomial scaling behavior in fermionic quantum Monte Carlo simulations. Most often, this question is phrased in terms of the quest to identify a basis transformation that leads to a sign-free basis. The idea here is that the sign problem is not an intrinsic property of the quantum system but is representation dependent as (at least) the eigenbasis of a many-fermion system (in which the Hamiltonian is diagonal) will not exhibit a sign problem. However, it has been demonstrated that generically identifying such a sign-free basis for an arbitrary many-fermion system is an NP-hard problem [6], making any generic solution highly elusive. Specific examples of such basis transformations, applicable to certain restricted families of many-fermion systems, have, however, been identified over the years. This includes the meron cluster representation of the Hubbard model in a limited range of attractive and repulsive parameters [7], the fermion bag formulation of four-fermion field theories [8], and, most recently, a Majorana fermion decomposition for the study of SU(N) models with odd N over a wide range of parameters [9]. The lack of a systematic way to identify such basis transformations and its proven NP hardness have led to the common perception that, despite its fundamental importance, it is impossible to directly address the fermion sign problem. Instead, numerical efforts have been poured into the development of sophisticated numerical schemes aimed at pushing the exponential barrier to larger system sizes and smaller temperature scales to possibly explore so-far unaccessible regimes of fermionic many-particle systems. Examples of such an approach include the recent development of continuous-time path integral methods for quantum impurity models now commonly employed in dynamical mean-field calculations of strongly correlated electron systems [10], the systematic optimization of trial wave functions for ground state algorithms [11], or the early development of diffusion Monte Carlo techniques in conjunction with the fixed-node approximation [12]. Simultaneously, analytical efforts have aimed at identifying abstract, sign-problem free model systems that allow us to capture universal low-energy features of microscopic models with a sign problem, such as the discussion of the onset of antiferromagnetism in metals [13]. Finally, with the influx of quantum information theory concepts into the description of condensed matter systems orthogonal algorithmic approaches have been devised such as the variational approximation of many-fermion systems via projected entangled-pair states [14], which are now on the cusp of outperforming more traditional variational Monte Carlo approaches [15].

Here we return to the original sign problem and explore an alternative route to overcome it. Our guiding idea is to explore whether extracting *global* information about the ground state of a many-fermion system via its entanglement properties—such as the general classification whether it exhibits gapless modes, conventional or topological order—possibly comes with a smaller sign problem than the measurement of expectation values of observables aimed at providing a full ground-state characterization such as order parameters or two-point correlation functions. This information theoretical

angle on the sign problem is rooted in the observation that in the Monte Carlo calculation of entanglement entropies the sign problem enters, as we will explain below, in an *additive* instead of multiplicative way as for conventional observables. We find that for certain Monte Carlo flavors, in particular auxiliary-field quantum Monte Carlo approaches, this can lead to a considerable suppression of the sign problem as we demonstrate for spinless fermion models on the honeycomb lattice at and below half filling. This observation might point a way to an amenable probe of global ground-state properties of interacting many-fermion systems even in the presence of a strong sign problem.

II. SIGN PROBLEM

To start our discussion we provide a brief formal overview of the sign problem. It is rooted in the mapping of the quantum system to an equivalent classical system where, for fermionic systems, one might encounter configurations associated with negative or even complex Boltzmann weights. The latter makes it a priori impossible to interpret these statistical weights as probabilities guiding the sampling of a Markov chain of configurations, which is at the core of every Monte Carlo approach. The sign problem arises if one enforces these statistical weights to be positive (and real) by taking their absolute values and interprets these absolute values as the probabilities guiding the Markov process. This is best illustrated by considering an observable O, for which one wants to calculate a Monte Carlo estimate of its expectation value $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$. For each of the configurations \mathcal{C} of the Markov chain encountered with a Boltzmann weight $p(\mathcal{C})$, one measures the observable $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ as well as the sign $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \operatorname{sgn} p(\mathcal{C})$ of the Boltzmann weight associated with the configuration. The actual expectation value of the observable $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$ can then be reconstructed [4] as

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \frac{\sum \mathcal{O}(C)p(\mathcal{C})}{\sum p(\mathcal{C})} = \frac{\sum \mathcal{O}(C)\sigma(\mathcal{C})|p(\mathcal{C})|}{\sum \sigma(\mathcal{C})|p(\mathcal{C})|} = \frac{\langle \mathcal{O} \cdot \sigma \rangle_{abs}}{\langle \sigma \rangle_{abs}}$$
(1)

from measurements $\langle \ldots \rangle_{abs}$ in the modified ensemble with absolute statistical weights |p(C)|. However, it remains practically impossible to efficiently do this reconstruction of the actual expectation value, since the average sign $\langle \sigma \rangle_{abs}$ in general decreases exponentially [5],

$$\langle \sigma \rangle_{\rm abs} = \exp\left(-\beta N \,\Delta f\right),$$
 (2)

with the number of particles N and inverse temperature β . The additional factor $\Delta f = f_{\text{fermion}} - f_{\text{abs}}$ is the difference in the free energy densities of the original fermionic system and the one with absolute weights. In the context of worldline Monte Carlo approaches the latter generically describes a *bosonic* system, which one obtains by ignoring all π -phase contributions arising from fermionic particle exchanges. The resulting exponential growth of statistical errors invalidates the polynomial scaling of the Monte Carlo approach.

III. ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPIES

To access global information about the ground state of a many-fermion system, we consider the entanglement entropy for a bipartition of the system into a subsystem A and its complement. It is now well appreciated that these quantum information measures allow us to broadly classify the nature of a quantum many-body system via (subleading) corrections to its predominant boundary scaling law [16], such as the formation of a Fermi surface via (multiplicative) logarithmic corrections [17,18] or the emergence of topological order via O(1) corrections [19,20]. Our particular focus is on the family of Rényi entropies with an integer index $n \ge 2$

$$S_n(A) = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_A^n), \qquad (3)$$

which generalize the well-known von Neumann entropy (corresponding to the limit $n \rightarrow 1$). The primary reason to consider these Rényi entropies in lieu of the more familiar von Neumann entropy is that the Rényi entropies can be formulated via the so-called replica technique [21,22] as the *ratio* of two partition sums. Considering the second Rényi entropy with n = 2, i.e., $S_2(A) = -\log(\text{Tr}(\rho_A^2))$, we have

$$S_2(A) = -\log \frac{Z[A,2,T]}{Z^2} \equiv -\log \frac{Z_1}{Z_0},$$
 (4)

where $Z_1 = Z[A,2,T]$ is the partition sum of the replicated system and $Z_0 = Z^2$ is the square of the partition function of the original system. This representation of the Rényi entropy via the replica technique is also at the heart of its numerical calculation in quantum Monte Carlo techniques and has recently been adopted to various flavors [23], in particular fermionic auxiliary field techniques [24,25]. Here our interest in this replica representation arises when considering a quantum system with a fermion sign problem. For such a system the partition sum Z can be split into a product of a partition sum $Z^{abs} = \sum_{C} |p(C)|$, where all weights p(C) of the original partition sum have been taken as their absolute values, and the expectation value of the sign

$$Z = \sum_{\mathcal{C}} p(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{\mathcal{C}} \sigma(\mathcal{C}) |p(\mathcal{C})| = Z^{\text{abs}} \cdot \langle \sigma \rangle_{\text{abs}}.$$
 (5)

Inserting this expression in the replica representation of the Rényi entropy (4) one readily obtains

$$S_{2}(A) = -\log\left(\frac{Z_{1}^{abs}}{Z_{0}^{abs}} \cdot \frac{\langle \sigma_{1} \rangle_{abs}}{\langle \sigma_{0} \rangle_{abs}}\right) = -\log\frac{Z_{1}^{abs}}{Z_{0}^{abs}} - \log\frac{\langle \sigma_{1} \rangle_{abs}}{\langle \sigma_{0} \rangle_{abs}}$$
$$= S_{2}^{abs}(A) + S_{2}^{\sigma}(A).$$
(6)

Thus, the Rényi entropy for a system with a sign problem separates into two *additive* contributions, one coming from the partition sum with absolute weights and one arising from the sign [26]. This additive behavior, which has earlier been discussed in the context of variational Monte Carlo approaches [27], should be contrasted to the *multiplicative* contribution of the sign to the calculation of conventional observables (1). This additive splitting also raises the immediate question of how contributions to the scaling behavior of the Rényi entropy $S_2(A)$ are split among the two terms, in particular whether the boundary law scaling or any of its subleading contributions can arise solely from the sign contribution $S_2^{\sigma}(A)$. Or could it be sufficient to only consider the absolute partition sum and its associated entanglement entropy $S_2^{abs}(A)$, which can be computed from a QMC simulation in a straightforward manner? While we have no general answer to these questions, we will present in the following numerical evidence from auxiliary-field QMC calculations that shows that for some model systems the entanglement entropy of the absolute partition sum can indeed produce the expected scaling behavior of the Rényi entropy including subleading terms.

IV. SPINLESS DIRAC FERMIONS

As a model system we consider spinless fermions on the honeycomb lattice subject to a nearest-neighbor repulsive interaction V described by a Hamiltonian

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (c_i^{\dagger} c_j + c_j^{\dagger} c_i) + V \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} n_i n_j.$$
(7)

At half filling $\langle n \rangle = 1/2$ this model exhibits a fermionic quantum phase transition, likely in the Gross-Neveu universality class [28,29], from a Dirac semimetal for small repulsion V to a charge density wave state for large V, as recently discussed in various numerical works [30]. What makes this spinless fermion system particularly interesting for us is that it exhibits a severe sign problem in the complex-fermion basis underlying conventional auxiliary field (or determinantal) QMC techniques [31], which will be our method of choice, while the system can also be recast in a Majorana fermion representation without sign problem [9]. This allows to benchmark our results for the sign-ignoring entanglement entropies $S_2^{abs}(A)$ obtained for the complexfermion case with numerically exact data for $S_2(A)$ from the Majorana fermion approach and in particular distill the sign contribution $S_2^{\sigma}(A)$ to the Rényi entropy (6). Such a comparison of the entanglement entropies is shown in Fig. 1 for



FIG. 1. Upper panel: Sign-ignoring entanglement entropy $S_2^{abs}(L)$ for spinless fermions on the honeycomb lattice at half filling subject to a nearest-neighbor repulsive interaction V. The latter drives a transition from a Dirac semimetal for $V < V_c \approx 1.356(1)$ (indicated by the vertical gray line) to a charge density wave for $V > V_c$. The sign-ignoring data is from projector auxiliary-field QMC calculations using a real-valued Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation at $\theta = 40$. Sign-free reference data from Majorana-QMC simulations at $\theta = 20$ is indicated by the gray dots. Lower panel: The average sign $\langle \sigma \rangle_{abs}$ indicating the strength of the sign problem.

various system sizes [32] where we cut a system of dimension $2 \times L \times 3$ into a strip A of dimension $2 \times L \times 1$ and its complement (see the Appendix for an illustration). While the entanglement entropies show almost perfect agreement in the charge density wave for $V > V_c \approx 1.356(1)$ [30], there is a noticeable difference for the Dirac semimetal phase (for $V < V_c$). This discrepancy partially reflects the strength of the sign problem in the two phases indicated in the lower panel of Fig. 1, with the average sign $\langle \sigma \rangle_{abs}$ almost dropping to zero in the Dirac semimetal phase (indicating a strong sign problem), while the average sign quickly recovers (approaching unity) beyond the phase transition into the charge density wave. Note, however, that the deviation of the sign-ignoring entanglement entropy $S_2^{abs}(A)$ from the correct entanglement entropy $S_2(A)$ (calculated in the sign-free Majorana representation) remains almost constant with increasing system size. This immediately raises the question how the expected scaling behavior of the entanglement entropy $S_2(A)$ is split into contributions from the sign-ignoring entanglement entropy $S_2^{abs}(A)$ and the sign entropy $S_2^{\sigma}(A)$. To probe in particular the scaling behavior of $S_2^{abs}(A)$ we consider the spinless fermion system of Eq. (7) at two different fillings. At half filling $\langle n \rangle = 1/2$ (and small V) the system exhibits a Dirac cone with the entanglement entropy expected to follow a boundary law $S_2(L) = a\ell + \dots$ with the length of the boundary, in our case $\ell = L$ (and a some nonuniversal prefactor). At one-third filling $\langle n \rangle = 1/3$ (and small V) the partially filled band structure exhibits a Fermi surface with a nodal line of gapless modes, which leads to a violation of the boundary law with a multiplicative, logarithmic correction arising in the entanglement entropy [17,18], i.e. $S_2(L) = a\ell \log \ell + \dots$, where *a* is again some nonuniversal prefactor. In Fig. 2 we show numerical



FIG. 2. Scaling of the sign-ignoring entanglement entropy with system size of a spinless fermion system on the honeycomb lattice with a small nearest-neighbor repulsive interaction V/t = 0.1. The system exhibits semimetallic states with a Dirac cone at half filling and a nodal line at one-third filling. Data is from projector auxiliary-field QMC calculations using a complex-valued Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation at $\theta = 1$, for which there is a severe sign problem with $\langle \sigma \rangle_{abs} \approx 0$ for any finite V and all but the smallest system sizes. Error bars are smaller than the symbol sizes.

results for the sign-ignoring entanglement entropies $S_2^{abs}(A)$ calculated for cuts A of dimension $2 \times L \times L/3$ in a system of $2 \times L \times L$ sites (see the Appendix for an illustration). The data in this plot is obtained from auxiliary-field QMC calculations using a complex-valued Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation, which allows for the calculation of considerably larger system sizes than simulations based on the real-valued Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation-however, these complex-valued calculations are known [31] to come with a much more severe sign problem, with $\langle \sigma \rangle_{abs}$ suppressed to zero for any finite V and all but the smallest system sizes. Remarkably, our numerical results of Fig. 2 suggest that the sign-ignoring entanglement entropies $S_2^{abs}(A)$ completely reflect the scaling behavior of the full entanglement entropy $S_2(A)$, with the data for the half-filled case clearly seen to flatten out for large system sizes indicative of the boundary-law scaling, while the data for the one-third filled case nicely follows the logarithmic scaling behavior expected for the total entanglement entropy $S_2(L)$. This behavior should be contrasted to the results of a recent variational Monte Carlo study [27] of model wave functions for gapless spin liquids obtained from a Gutzwiller projection of a Fermi sea. In this study, the authors found that even the leading order term is not generically robust against the sign problem as the multiplicative logarithmic contribution to the entanglement entropy for a system with gapless nodal lines was solely arising in the sign contribution $S_2^{\sigma}(A)$.

Finally, we present results for the quantum phase transition driven by the nearest-neighbor repulsion V in the one-third filled spinless fermion model (7). Figure 3 shows results for the sign-ignoring entanglement entropy $S_2^{abs}(A)/L$ for system sizes up to $15 \times 30 = 450$ sites (and 150 fermions), well



FIG. 3. Upper panel: Sign-ignoring entanglement entropy $S_2^{abs}(L)/L$ for spinless fermions on the honeycomb lattice at one-third filling subject to a nearest-neighbor repulsive interaction V. The numerical data is obtained from projector auxiliary-field QMC calculations using a complex-valued Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation at $\theta = 20$, for which there is a severe sign problem for all coupling parameters and system sizes as indicated by the vanishing average sign $\langle \sigma \rangle_{abs}$ shown in the lower panel. The gray bar indicates our best estimate for the region in which the logarithmic contribution vanishes and the system starts to exhibit pure area law behavior.

beyond the system size limit of any other numerical fermion technique. With increasing V a clear transition in the scaling behavior is seen, going from the logarithmic scaling behavior for the nodal liquid at small V to a clean boundary law for larger V (with all curves collapsing onto each other) indicative of an ordinary charge-ordered state. This allows us to estimate the location of this fermionic quantum phase transition to be roughly located at $V_c/t \approx 0.58 \pm 0.04$ as indicated by the vertical bar in Fig. 3 [34].

V. DISCUSSION

It is important to note that the ignorance of negative signs in the interpretation of statistical weights manifests itself differently in various Monte Carlo flavors. In auxiliary-field QMC techniques the weight of a configuration is given by the determinant of the free fermion problem in the Hubbard Stratonovich field. It should be noted that at this level the propagation of the fermions in imaginary time, including all fermionic exchange terms invoked by the Hamiltonian, have been fully taken into account, but finally condensed into the single number, which is the determinant. Thus, ignoring the sign of the determinant still allows us to capture some of the essential physics of a fermionic system. This should be contrasted to other Monte Carlo flavors, such as world-line QMC techniques where the ignorance of negative statistical weights readily implies that one considers bosonic instead of fermionic exchange statistics throughout and that the actual meaning of coupling terms in a Hamiltonian are fundamentally altered, e.g., when effectively considering ferromagnetic couplings in lieu of antiferromagnetic ones. In a similar spirit, one cannot expect that variational Monte Carlo approaches will be particularly robust when ignoring negative statistical weights, which readily implies that one fully ignores the sign structure of the underlying wavefunction (up to the nodal structure). We believe that this distinction underlies the observation that Rényi entropies, e.g., for frustrated spin systems, cannot be calculated in a similarly efficient way for world-line QMC approaches as presented here and is also the source of the stark difference in the splitting of entanglement contributions observed for nodal line wave functions reported here and in earlier work on gapless spin liquids [27].

While our numerical results indicate the tantalizing possibility that it might indeed be possible to extract global information about the ground state of a many-fermion system from auxiliary-field QMC simulations even in the presence of a severe sign problem, we do want to point out that it will require further thought to understand whether this holds for a restricted class of wave functions only or might apply in more generality. From the point of view of algorithmic complexity theory, it would be interesting to have some guidance into whether the calculation of global ground state properties, such as the entanglement entropy, might generally be considered to be in a different complexity class than the calculation of ordinary observables. We hope that our work will spur further activity to launch a new attack on the long-standing fermion sign problem.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank F. Assaad, B. Bauer, T. Grover, and A. Rosch for discussions. P.B. acknowledges partial support from the Deutsche Telekom Stiftung and the Bonn-Cologne Graduate School of Physics and Astronomy (BCGS). The numerical simulations were performed on the CHEOPS cluster at RRZK Cologne and the JUROPA/JURECA clusters at the Forschungszentrum Jülich.

APPENDIX A: AUXILIARY-FIELD MONTE CARLO SIMULATIONS

The core numerical technique used in our work is an auxiliary-field (or determinantal) quantum Monte Carlo technique. This technique generally comes in two distinct variants that allow simulations either at finite temperatures in the grand-canonical ensemble or directly in the ground state, respectively. In finite temperature simulations, the object of interest is the partition sum

$$Z = \mathrm{Tr}\rho = \mathrm{Tr}e^{-\beta H},\tag{A1}$$

while ground-state simulations employ a projective approach on a trial wave function $|\psi_T\rangle$

$$|\psi\rangle = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} e^{-\theta H} |\psi_T\rangle.$$
 (A2)

Note that the inverse temperature β and the projection time θ can be treated on equal footing in the following discussion (in which we typically refer to the inverse temperature). We perform a Trotter-Suzuki decomposition of the inverse temperature (or projection time) into N discrete steps of size $\Delta \tau = \beta/N$

$$e^{-\beta H} = \prod_{i=1}^{N} e^{-\Delta \tau H}.$$

In general, neither of the two expressions (A1) or (A2)can be evaluated directly because of quartic terms in the Hamiltonian, such as a density-density interaction. Employing a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation, we turn the quartic terms into quadratic ones at the cost of introducing an auxiliary field-the Hubbard-Stratonovich field. Such a decoupling can be performed in various channels. For Hubbard models, one may use the magnetization channel, breaking SU(2) symmetry, at the advantage of dealing with real numbers only. Another possibility that retains the SU(2) symmetry is using the charge channel which leads to complex numbers in the simulation. The intricacies of the two different decouplings are detailed in Ref. [31]. Performing a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation entails an integration over the Hubbard Stratonovich field, which is performed stochastically within the Monte Carlo procedure. In the finite temperature algorithm, we will also have to evaluate the trace of the fermion states, which can be performed exactly, since the fermions are free after the decoupling. This ultimately results in one determinant per field configuration, hence the alternative name determinantal quantum Monte Carlo. In the ground state algorithm, the determinant arises as the result of an inner product of the wave function with itself. More details on the sampling procedure and measurement of observables can be found in the aforementioned literature [31].

APPENDIX B: REPLICA TECHNIQUE

While the replica technique for the calculation of entanglement entropies has been employed in various analytical and numerical calculation schemes based on its representation in a path integral/world-line formalism [22,23], its adaptation to auxiliary field techniques is somewhat more involved as the fermions and their world lines are traced out and cease to be the central objects. One recent approach to circumvent this problem is to exploit the fact that the fermions after the Hubbard Stratonovich transformation are noninteracting and adopt the correlation matrix method [24,35] to implement the replica trick. This approach, however, turns out to be hampered by large statistical errors and only works well for weakly interacting systems [25,36]. An alternative approach, applicable also to the strong coupling regime, was developed by us in an earlier work [25], which demonstrates that one can overcome this problem by directly implementing the replica trick with the help of a modified simulation cell. The basic idea makes use of the fact that world lines from A and B may interact only in restricted intervals of time, i.e., in either one of the intervals $(0,\beta)$ or $(\beta,2\beta)$. By "unfolding" the simulation cell, we can simulate precisely the same world-line dynamics if we modify the Hamiltonian in such a way that degrees of freedom in the two parts B and B' only propagate during one of the two intervals in imaginary time, respectively. The peculiar boundary conditions in imaginary time have thus been exchanged with a Hamiltonian that depends on imaginary time but that can readily be simulated within the standard DQMC framework, thus allowing us to use the replica trick directly.

APPENDIX C: CONVERGENCE PROPERTIES OF ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY AND THE SIGN OBSERVABLE

To demonstrate the severity of the sign problem in our model systems, we here provide a detailed exposition of the



FIG. 4. Sign-ignoring entanglement entropy $S_2^{abs}(L)$ (upper panel) and expectation values of the two signs $\langle \sigma_0 \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle$ (lower panel) for spinless fermions on a 2 × 3 × 3 honeycomb lattice at half filling at V = 1.0. Results were obtained using project auxiliaryfield QMC calculations using a complex Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation and plotted against the projection time θ .



FIG. 5. Geometries of the honeycomb lattice and its bipartitions into subsystems A (shaded in blue) and B used in (a) Fig. 1 and (b) Fig. 2 of the main text, respectively.

expectation value of the signs $\langle \sigma_0 \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle$ with regard to the projection parameter θ in the zero-temperature auxiliary-field quantum Monte Carlo approach (see Methods section) and demonstrate its impact on the convergence of the sign-ignoring entanglement entropy $S_2^{abs}(L)$. Figure 4 shows the representative behavior of these two observables by considering a small cluster of spinless fermions on a honeycomb lattice of size $2 \times 3 \times 3$ at half filling and a nearest-neighbor repulsive interaction of V = 1.0. The data reveals several systematic trends that we observe across the entire parameter regime and all system sizes. First, one finds that for an extended regime of the projection parameter θ (see Methods section) the curves for $\langle \sigma_0 \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle$ fall on top of each other, before possibly drifting apart for the largest values of θ (not visible here), which in turn gives rise to a finite sign entanglement entropy S_2^{σ} . Second, one finds that $\langle \sigma_0 \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle$ almost vanish well before $S_2^{\text{abs}}(L)$ converges. These vanishingly small expectation values $\langle \sigma_0 \rangle \approx 0$ and $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle \approx 0$ render any direct attempt to determine the sign entanglement entropy S_2^{σ} with a meaningful error bar impossible.

APPENDIX D: LATTICE GEOMETRIES AND CUTS

The lattice geometries and their corresponding cuts are depicted in Fig. 5. For the half-filled case, it is crucial to capture the Dirac node in the discretized dispersion relation, which requires the width and height of the lattice to be a multiple of 3. For the initial comparison of DQMC using a

- J. Zaanen, S. Chakravarty, T. Senthil, P. Anderson, P. Lee, J. Schmalian, M. Imada, D. Pines, M. Randeria, C. Varma, M. Vojta, and M. Rice, Towards a complete theory of high Tc, Nat. Phys. 2, 138 (2006).
- [2] A. J. Schofield, Non-fermi liquids, Contemp. Phys. 40, 95 (1999); H. v. Löhneysen, A. Rosch, M. Vojta, and Peter Wölfle, Fermi-liquid instabilities at magnetic quantum phase transitions, Rev. Mod. Phys. 79, 1015 (2007).
- [3] L. Balents, Spin liquids in frustrated magnets, Nature (London) 464, 199 (2010).
- [4] J. E. Hirsch, R. L. Sugar, D. J. Scalapino, and R. Blankenbecler, Monte Carlo simulations of one-dimensional fermion systems, Phys. Rev. B 26, 5033 (1982).



FIG. 6. Scaling of free spinless fermions on the honeycomb lattice at half and one-third filling using the same geometries as described in the previous section.

real valued Hubbard Stratonovich transformation and the sign problem free Majorana algorithm shown in Fig. 1 of the main text, we used a lattice of size $2 \times L \times W$ and set W = 3, varying only L. For Fig. 2 of the main text, we were interested in comparing the leading order of contribution to the boundary law. We thus chose a lattice of size $2 \times L \times L$, i.e., keeping the aspect ratio constant when increasing the system size. The cut is chosen to be free of corners that potentially contribute subleading terms to the area law which we want to avoid for the time being.

APPENDIX E: COMPARISON WITH FREE FERMIONS

For comparison with Fig. 2 in the main text, we employed the correlation matrix method [35] to study the scaling properties of the second Renyi entropy for free fermions. The results are shown in Fig. 6 and were obtained for the same geometries and cut types (cf. Fig. 5) as those in the main text. The two figures are strikingly similar, with the sign-ignoring simulation reproducing the general form of the scaling relation including the oscillatory even-odd behavior. Although the data was obtained for two different values of the nearest-neighbor repulsion *V*, namely V = 0.0 in 6 and V = 0.1 in Fig. 2 of the main text, the results shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 3 of the main text suggest that the free fermion scaling persists for finite values of *V*.

- [5] E. Y. Loh, J. E. Gubernatis, R. T. Scalettar, S. R. White, D. J. Scalapino, and R. L. Sugar, Sign problem in the numerical simulation of many-electron systems, Phys. Rev. B 41, 9301 (1990).
- [6] M. Troyer and U.-J. Wiese, Computational Complexity and Fundamental Limitations to Fermionic Quantum Monte Carlo Simulations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 170201 (2005).
- [7] S. Chandrasekharan and U.-J. Wiese, Meron-Cluster Solution of Fermion Sign Problems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3116 (1999).
- [8] S. Chandrasekharan and A. Li, Fermion bag solutions to some sign problems in four-fermion field theories, Phys. Rev. D 85, 091502 (2012).
- [9] Z.-X. Li, Y.-F. Jiang, and H. Yao, Solving the fermion sign

problem in quantum Monte Carlo simulations by Majorana representation, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 241117(R) (2015).

- [10] E. Gull, A. J. Millis, A. I. Lichtenstein, A. N. Rubtsov, M. Troyer, and P. Werner, Continuous-time Monte Carlo methods for quantum impurity models, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 349 (2011).
- [11] C. J. Umrigar, Julien Toulouse, Claudia Filippi, S. Sorella, and R. G. Hennig, Alleviation of the Fermion-Sign Problem by Optimization of Many-Body Wave Functions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 179902 (2007).
- [12] D. M. Ceperley and B. J. Alder, Ground State of the Electron Gas by a Stochastic Method, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 566 (1980);
 L. K. Wagner, D. M. Ceperley, Discovering correlated fermions using quantum Monte Carlo, arXiv:1602.01344.
- [13] E. Berg, M. A. Metlitski, and S. Sachdev, Sign-problem-free quantum Monte Carlo of the Onset of Antiferromagnetism in Metals, Science 338, 1606 (2012); Y. Schattner, M. H. Gerlach, S. Trebst, and E. Berg, Competing orders in a nearly antiferromagnetic metal, arXiv:1512.07257.
- [14] P. Corboz, R. Orus, B. Bauer, and G. Vidal, Simulation of strongly correlated fermions in two spatial dimensions with fermionic projected entangled-pair states, Phys. Rev. B 81, 165104 (2010).
- [15] P. Corboz, T. M. Rice, and M. Troyer, Competing States in the t-J Model: Uniform d-Wave State versus Stripe State, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 046402 (2014).
- [16] J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, Colloquium: Area laws for the entanglement entropy, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 277 (2010).
- [17] D. Gioev and I. Klich, Entanglement Entropy of Fermions in Any Dimension and the Widom Conjecture, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 100503 (2006).
- [18] M. M. Wolf, Violation of the Entropic Area Law for Fermions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 010404 (2006).
- [19] A. Kitaev and J. Preskill, Topological Entanglement Entropy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 110404 (2006).
- [20] M. Levin and X. G. Wen, Detecting Topological Order in a Ground State Wave Function, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 110405 (2006).
- [21] C. Holzhey, F. Larsen, and F. Wilczek, Geometric and renormalized entropy in conformal field theory, Nucl. Phys. B 424, 443 (1994).
- [22] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory, J. Stat. Mech. (2004) P06002.
- [23] P. B. Buividovich and M. I. Polikarpov, Numerical study of entanglement entropy in SU(2) lattice gauge theory, Nucl. Phys. B 802, 458 (2008); Y. Nakagawa, A. Nakamura, S. Motoki, and V. I. Zakharov, Entanglement entropy of SU(3) Yang-Mills theory, Proc. Sci. LAT2009, 188 (2009); M. B. Hastings, I. Gonzalez, A. B. Kallin, and R. G. Melko, Measuring Rényi Entanglement Entropy in Quantum Monte Carlo Simulations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 157201 (2010); S. Humeniuk and T. Roscilde, Quantum Monte Carlo calculation of entanglement Rényi entropies for generic quantum systems, Phys. Rev. B 86, 235116 (2012); N. M. Tubman and J. McMinis, Renyi entanglement entropy of molecules: Interaction effects and signatures of bonding, arXiv:1204.4731; L. Wang and M. Troyer, Rényi Entanglement Entropy of Interacting Fermions Calculated Using the Continuous-Time Quantum Monte Carlo Method, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 110401 (2014); C. M. Herdman, S. Inglis, P.-N. Roy, R. G. Melko, and A. Del Maestro, Path-

integral Monte Carlo method for Rényi entanglement entropies, Phys. Rev. E **90**, 013308 (2014); J. E. Drut and W. J. Porter, Hybrid Monte Carlo approach to the entanglement entropy of interacting fermions, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 125126 (2015).

- [24] T. Grover, Entanglement of Interacting Fermions in Quantum Monte Carlo Calculations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 130402 (2013).
- [25] P. Broecker and S. Trebst, Rényi entropies of interacting fermions from determinantal quantum Monte Carlo simulations, J. Stat. Mech. (2014), P08015.
- [26] While we concentrate on the case of n = 2, similar additive relations hold for arbitrary n.
- [27] Y. Zhang, T. Grover, and A. Vishwanath, Entanglement Entropy of Critical Spin Liquids, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 067202 (2011).
- [28] D. J. Gross and A. Neveu, Dynamical symmetry breaking in asymptotically free field theories, Phys. Rev. D 10, 3235 (1974).
- [29] I. F. Herbut, Interactions and Phase Transitions on Graphenes Honeycomb Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 146401 (2006); I. F. Herbut, V. Juricic, and O. Vafek, Relativistic Mott criticality in graphene, Phys. Rev. B 80, 075432 (2009); I. F. Herbut, V. Juricic, and B. Roy, Theory of interacting electrons on the honeycomb lattice, *ibid.* 79, 085116 (2009).
- [30] L. Wang, P. Corboz, and M. Troyer, Fermionic quantum critical point of spinless fermions on a honeycomb lattice, New J. Phys. 16, 103008 (2014); Z.-Y. Li, Y.-F. Jiang, and H. Yao, Fermionsign-free Majarana-quantum-Monte-Carlo studies of quantum critical phenomena of Dirac fermions in two dimensions, *ibid.* 17, 85003 (2015); J. Motruk, A. G. Grushin, F. de Juan, and F. Pollmann, Interaction driven phases in the half-filled honeycomb lattice: an infinite density matrix renormalization group study, Phys. Rev. B 92, 085147 (2015); S. Capponi and A. Läuchli, Phase diagram of interacting spinless fermions on the honeycomb lattice: A comprehensive exact diagonalization study, *ibid.* 92, 085146 (2015).
- [31] For reviews, see, e.g., F. F. Assaad and H. G. Evertz, Worldline and Determinantal Quantum Monte Carlo Methods for Spins, Phonons and Electrons, Lecture Notes in Physics 739, 277 (2008); F. F. Assaad, SU(2)-spin Invariant Auxiliary Field Quantum Monte Carlo Algorithm for Hubbard models, arXiv:cond-mat/9806307; R. R. dos Santos, Introduction to quantum Monte Carlo simulations for fermionic systems, Braz. J. Phys. 33, 36 (2003).
- [32] It should be noted that the numerical stabilization of the code to calculate Rényi entropies is much more involved than that for the calculation of correlation functions. This is particularly true for the Majorana formulation. For an in-depth treatment, we refer the reader to a forthcoming publication [33].
- [33] P. Broecker and S. Trebst (unpublished).
- [34] We emphasize that while it would certainly be very interesting to try and extract critical properties purely from entanglement based measures, our focus in this paper is on describing the phases on either side of the transition that are well distinguished by the scaling of their respective entanglement entropy.
- [35] M.-C. Chung and I. Peschel, Density-matrix spectra of solvable fermionic systems, Phys. Rev. B 64, 064412 (2001); I. Peschel, Calculation of reduced density matrices from correlation functions, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36, L205 (2003).
- [36] F. F. Assaad, T. C. Lang, and F. P. Toldin, Entanglement spectra of interacting fermions in quantum Monte Carlo simulations, Phys. Rev. B 89, 125121 (2014).