## Computational Many-Body Physics

apl. Prof. Dr. R. Bulla, C. Bartel

SS 2016

**Sheet 6**: return on: Monday, July 4, 2016, 14:00

### Exercise 1: entanglement entropy for one-dimensional spin models

(8 points + 4 bonus points)

The entanglement entropy  $S_e$  has been introduced in exercise 4 on sheet 5 and applied to various states  $|\psi\rangle$  for a system of M spins, with a bi-partitioning into parts A (with  $M_A$  sites) and B. In this exercise, the state  $|\psi\rangle$  is taken as the ground state of the spin models defined in exercise 3 on sheet 4, i.e.

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{\alpha} J_i^{\alpha} S_i^{\alpha} S_{i+1}^{\alpha} ,$$

and different choices for the  $J_i^{\alpha}$ .

Calculate  $S_e$  as a function of  $M_A$  ( $M_A = 1, 2, ..., M - 1$ ) for fixed M and the state  $|\psi\rangle$  given as the ground state of the following three models:

- a)  $J_i^{\alpha} = J\delta_{\alpha z}$ , (4 points)
- b)  $J_i^{\alpha} = J$ , (4 points)

c) 
$$J_i^{\alpha} = \begin{cases} J\delta_{\alpha x} & : i \text{ even,} \\ J\delta_{\alpha z} & : i \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$
 (4 bonus points)

The total number of sites can be fixed to M=8; consider both J=+1 and J=-1. If the ground state happens to be degenerate, the calculations should be performed for one of the ground states.

#### Exercise 2: integral representation of the single-impurity Anderson model

(8 points)

The Hamiltonian of the single-impurity Anderson model in the 'integral representation' has the following form:

$$H = H_{\rm imp} + H_{\rm bath} + H_{\rm imp-bath}$$
,

with

$$H_{\rm imp} = \sum_{\sigma} \varepsilon_{\rm f} f_{\sigma}^{\dagger} f_{\sigma} + U f_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} f_{\uparrow} f_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} f_{\downarrow} , \qquad (1)$$

$$H_{\text{bath}} = \sum_{\sigma} \int_{-1}^{1} d\varepsilon \, g(\varepsilon) a_{\varepsilon\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\varepsilon\sigma} \,, \qquad (2)$$

$$H_{\text{imp-bath}} = \sum_{\sigma} \int_{-1}^{1} d\varepsilon h(\varepsilon) \Big( f_{\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\varepsilon\sigma} + a_{\varepsilon\sigma}^{\dagger} f_{\sigma} \Big).$$
 (3)

a) Use the equation of motion method to show that the impurity Green function  $G_{\sigma}(z) = \langle \langle f_{\sigma}, f_{\sigma}^{\dagger} \rangle \rangle_{z}$  for the case U = 0 is given by

$$G_{\sigma}(z) = \frac{1}{z - \varepsilon_{\rm f} - \bar{\Delta}(z)}$$
, with  $\bar{\Delta}(z) = \int_{-1}^{1} d\varepsilon \frac{h(\varepsilon)^2}{z - g(\varepsilon)}$ . (4)

(The derivation is analogous to the one shown in Sec. 2.2.4 in the lecture.)
(5 points)

b) Starting from the expression for  $\bar{\Delta}(z)$  in eq. (4), show that the hybridization function  $\Delta(\omega) = -\lim_{\delta \to 0} \text{Im} \bar{\Delta}(z = \omega + i\delta)$  is given by

$$\Delta(\omega) = \pi h(g^{-1}(\omega))^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\omega} g^{-1}(\omega) .$$

(One can assume here that the function  $f(\varepsilon) = \omega - g(\varepsilon)$  is zero for a single value of  $\varepsilon$  only.) (3 points)

# Exercise 3: logarithmic discretization of the single-impurity Anderson model

(4 points)

The conduction electron part of the Hamiltionian,  $H_{\text{bath}}$  (see eq. (2) in exercise 2), can be written in the form

$$H_{\text{bath}} = \sum_{np\sigma} \left( \xi_n^+ a_{np\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{np\sigma} + \xi_n^- b_{np\sigma}^{\dagger} b_{np\sigma} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{n,p \neq p',\sigma} \left( \alpha_n^+(p,p') a_{np\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{np'\sigma} - \alpha_n^-(p,p') b_{np\sigma}^{\dagger} b_{np'\sigma} \right) ,$$

$$(5)$$

with the definitions of the operators  $a_{np\sigma}$  and  $b_{np\sigma}$  given in the lecture. For a constant hybridization function  $\Delta(\omega) = \Delta$  we can simply set the dispersion as  $g(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$ . Show that in this case the quantities  $\xi_n^{\pm}$  and  $\alpha_n^{\pm}$  are given by:

$$\xi_n^{\pm} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-n} (1 + \Lambda^{-1}) ,$$
 
$$\alpha_n^{\pm}(p, p') = \frac{1 - \Lambda^{-1}}{2\pi i} \frac{\Lambda^{-n}}{p' - p} \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i (p' - p)}{1 - \Lambda^{-1}}\right] .$$

### Exercise 4: flow diagrams for the tight-binding model

(5 points + 3 bonus points)

Consider the following quantum impurity model defined on a chain with N+1 sites:

$$H = \varepsilon f^{\dagger} f + V \left( f^{\dagger} c_1 + c_1^{\dagger} f \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} t_n \left( c_n^{\dagger} c_{n+1} + c_{n+1}^{\dagger} c_n \right) . \tag{6}$$

This model corresponds to a tight-binding model of spinless fermions with a special choice of parameters, in particular, the hoppings  $t_n$  are assumed to fall off exponentially:  $t_n = \Lambda^{-n/2}$  with  $\Lambda = 2$ . As the Hamiltonian eq. (6) is non-interacting, it can be diagonalized via an orthogonal transformation (see Sec. 2.1 in the lecture). This gives the single-particle spectrum from which the many-particle energies can be constructed.

The lowest-lying many-particle energies  $E_N(r)$   $(r=1,\ldots,r_{\text{max}})$  and we assume  $E_N(r) \leq E_N(r+1)$  for a chain with N bath sites can now be used to plot the energy-level flow diagram, i.e.  $\Lambda^{N/2}E_N(r)$  as a function of N.

- a) Plot the five  $(r_{\text{max}} = 5)$  lowest-lying many-particle energies in this way for  $\varepsilon = 0, V = 0.1$ , and N in the range  $N = 3, \ldots, 20$ . (5 points).
- b) Investigate the effect of the value of  $\varepsilon$  on the flow diagram by varying  $\varepsilon$  in the range [-2,2]. (3 bonus points).

### Exercise 5: flow diagrams for the one-dimensional Heisenberg model

(5 points + 3 bonus points)

Now consider a somewhat artificial model, the one-dimensional Heisenberg model with nearest-neighbour interactions decaying exponentially:

$$H = -\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\alpha} J_n^{\alpha} S_n^{\alpha} S_{n+1}^{\alpha} ,$$

with  $J_n^{\alpha} = J\Lambda^{-n/2}$ .

- a) Calculate the energy-level flow diagram, i.e. plot  $\Lambda^{N/2}E_N(r)$  for the lowest-lying energies  $E_N(r)$  as a function of N for  $2 \le N \le 10$ ,  $\Lambda = 2$ ,  $J = \pm 1$  via the full diagonalization of the Hamilton matrix for each N separately (not via an iterative diagonalization scheme as in the NRG). (5 points)
- b) Investigate the effect of a local perturbation of the form

$$H' = -\gamma S_1^x S_2^x ,$$

on the flow diagram for various values of  $\gamma$ . (3 bonus points)