Quantum entanglement, topological order, and tensor category theory

Xiao-Gang Wen, Perimeter/MIT ESI, Vienna, Aug., 2014







3

SQ (~

Xiao-Gang Wen, Perimeter/MIT ESI, Vienna, Aug., 2014

Quantum entanglement, topological order, and tensor category

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ■▶ -

Local unitary trans. defines gapped quantum phases

Two gapped states, $|\Psi(0)\rangle$ and $|\Psi(1)\rangle$ (or more precisely, two ground state subspaces), are in the same phase iff they are related through a local unitary (LU) evolution $|\Psi(1)\rangle = P\left(e^{-i\int_0^1 dg' H(g')}\right)|\Psi(0)\rangle$

where $H(g) = \sum_{i} O_{i}(g)$ and $O_{i}(g)$ are local hermitian operators.

Hastings, Wen 05; Bravyi, Hastings, Michalakis 10



 The local unitary transformations define an equivalence relation: *Two gapped states related by a local unitary transformation are in the same phase.*

A gapped quantum phase is an equivalence class of local unitary transformations – a conjecture.

Xiao-Gang Wen, Perimeter/MIT ESI, Vienna, Aug., 2014

Quantum entanglement, topological order, and tensor category

SQ (~

A gapped quantum liquid phase:

- A gapped quantum phase:
 - $H_{N_1}, H_{N_2}, H_{N_3}, H_{N_4}, \cdots$ $H'_{N_1}, H'_{N_2}, H'_{N_3}, H'_{N_4}, \cdots$ $N_{i+1} = sN_i, \ s \sim 2$
- A gapped quantum liquid phase:
 - $H_{N_1}, H_{N_2}, H_{N_3}, H_{N_4}, \cdots$ $H'_{N_1}, H'_{N_2}, H'_{N_3}, H'_{N_4}, \cdots$ $N_{k+1} = sN_k, \ s \sim 2$





Generalized local unitary (gLU) trans.

- 3+1D toric code model ightarrow a 3+1D gaped quantum liquid.
- Stacking 2+1D FQH states and Haah cubic model → gapped quantum state, but not liquids.

Xiao-Gang Wen, Perimeter/MIT ESI, Vienna, Aug., 2014

Quantum entanglement, topological order, and tensor category

Bosonic/fermionic gapped quantum phases

Both local bosonic and fermionic systems have the following local property: $V_{tot} = \bigotimes_i V_i$



- Bosonic gapped phases are the equivalent classes of LU transformation: $LU = \prod U_{ijk}$, which acts within $V_i \otimes V_j \otimes V_k$, and $[U_{ijk}, U_{i'j'k'}] = 0$, e.g. $U_{ijk} = e^{i(b_i b_j b_k^{\dagger} + h.c.)}$
- Fermionic gapped phases are the equivalent classes of fermionic LU transformation: $fLU = \prod U_{ijk}^{f}$, which does not act within $V_i \otimes V_j \otimes V_k$, but $[U_{ijk}^{f}, U_{i'j'k'}^{f}] = 0$, e.g. $U_{ijk}^{f} = e^{i(c_i c_j c_k^{\dagger} c_k + h.c.)}$

Gapped quantum liquids for bosons and fermions have very different mathematical structures

Xiao-Gang Wen, Perimeter/MIT ESI, Vienna, Aug., 2014

Quantum entanglement, topological order, and tensor category

SQ (~

LU trans. defines long-range entanglement (ie topo. order)

For gapped systems with no symmetry:

- According to Landau theory, no symmetry to break
 - \rightarrow all systems belong to one trivial phase

- 4 同 ト - 4 三 ト - 三 三

SQ Q

< □ ▶

LU trans. defines long-range entanglement (ie topo. order)

For gapped systems with no symmetry:

- According to Landau theory, no symmetry to break \rightarrow all systems belong to one trivial phase
- Thinking about entanglement: Chen-Gu-Wen 2010
 - There are long range entangled (LRE) states
 - There are **short range entangled (SRE) states**
 - $|\mathsf{LRE}\rangle \neq |\mathsf{IRE}\rangle$ |product state $\rangle = |\mathsf{SRE}\rangle$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$



LU trans. defines long-range entanglement (ie topo. order)

For gapped systems with no symmetry:

- According to Landau theory, no symmetry to break \rightarrow all systems belong to one trivial phase
- Thinking about entanglement: Chen-Gu-Wen 2010
 - There are long range entangled (LRE) states \rightarrow many phases
 - There are short range entangled (SRE) states \rightarrow one phase



- All SRE states belong to the same trivial phase
- LRE states can belong to many different phases
 - = different patterns of long-range entanglements defined by the LU trans.
 - = different topological orders Wen 1989
 - \rightarrow A classification by tensor category theory Levin-Wen 05, Chen-Gu-Wen 2010 $\sim \sim \sim \sim$

Xiao-Gang Wen, Perimeter/MIT ESI, Vienna, Aug., 2014

Quantum entanglement, topological order, and tensor category



 g_1

• $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle = \text{direct-product state} \rightarrow \text{unentangled (classical)}$

Xiao-Gang Wen, Perimeter/MIT ESI, Vienna, Aug., 2014 Quantum entanglement, topological order, and tensor category

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle = \text{direct-product state} \rightarrow \text{unentangled (classical)}$
- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \rightarrow \text{entangled (quantum)}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle = \text{direct-product state} \rightarrow \text{unentangled (classical)}$
- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \rightarrow \text{entangled (quantum)}$
- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \rightarrow \text{more entangled}$

- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle = \text{direct-product state} \rightarrow \text{unentangled (classical)}$
- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \rightarrow \text{entangled (quantum)}$
- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle$
- $= (|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) \otimes (|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) = |x\rangle \otimes |x\rangle \rightarrow \text{unentangled}$

- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle = \text{direct-product state} \rightarrow \text{unentangled (classical)}$
- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \rightarrow \text{entangled (quantum)}$
- $\bullet | \uparrow \rangle \otimes | \uparrow \rangle + | \downarrow \rangle \otimes | \downarrow \rangle + | \uparrow \rangle \otimes | \downarrow \rangle + | \downarrow \rangle \otimes | \uparrow \rangle$
- $= (|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) \otimes (|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) = |x\rangle \otimes |x\rangle \rightarrow \text{unentangled}$
- $\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \Rightarrow = |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle \Rightarrow unentangled$

- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle = \text{direct-product state} \rightarrow \text{unentangled (classical)}$
- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \rightarrow \text{entangled (quantum)}$
- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle$ = $(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) \otimes (|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) = |x\rangle \otimes |x\rangle \rightarrow \text{unentangled}$
- $\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \rangle \otimes | \downarrow \rangle \otimes | \downarrow \rangle \otimes | \downarrow \rangle \otimes | \downarrow \rangle \cdots \rightarrow unentangled$

- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle =$ direct-product state \rightarrow unentangled (classical)
- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \rightarrow \text{entangled (quantum)}$
- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle$ = $(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) \otimes (|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) = |x\rangle \otimes |x\rangle \rightarrow \text{unentangled}$

- Crystal order: $|\Phi_{\text{crystal}}\rangle = \left| \boxed{1} \right\rangle_{x_1} \otimes |1\rangle_{x_2} \otimes |0\rangle_{x_3} \dots$
 - = direct-product state \rightarrow unentangled state (classical)

- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle = \text{direct-product state} \rightarrow \text{unentangled (classical)}$
- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \rightarrow \text{entangled (quantum)}$
- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle$ = $(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) \otimes (|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) = |x\rangle \otimes |x\rangle \rightarrow \text{unentangled}$

- Crystal order: $|\Phi_{\text{crystal}}\rangle = |\overrightarrow{1}\rangle_{x_1} \otimes |1\rangle_{x_2} \otimes |0\rangle_{x_3}...$
 - = direct-product state \rightarrow unentangled state (classical)
- Particle condensation (superfluid) $|\Phi_{SF}\rangle = \sum_{\text{all conf.}} \left| \vdots \vdots \vdots \right\rangle$

- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle = \text{direct-product state} \rightarrow \text{unentangled (classical)}$
- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \rightarrow \text{entangled (quantum)}$
- $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle$ = $(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) \otimes (|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle) = |x\rangle \otimes |x\rangle \rightarrow \text{unentangled}$

- Crystal order: $|\Phi_{\text{crystal}}\rangle = \left| \boxed{1} \right\rangle_{x_1} \otimes |1\rangle_{x_2} \otimes |0\rangle_{x_3} \dots$
 - = direct-product state \rightarrow unentangled state (classical)
- Particle condensation (superfluid)

 $|\Phi_{\mathsf{SF}}\rangle = \sum_{\mathsf{all conf.}} \left| \vdots \vdots \right\rangle = (|0\rangle_{x_1} + |1\rangle_{x_1} + ..) \otimes (|0\rangle_{x_2} + |1\rangle_{x_2} + ..)..$

= direct-product state \rightarrow unentangled state (classical)

- Superfluid, as an exemplary quantum state of matter, is actually very classical and unquantum from entanglement point of view. Xiao-Gang Wen, Perimeter/MIT ESI, Vienna, Aug., 2014 Quantum entanglement, topological order, and tensor category

Scramble the phase: local rule ightarrow global dancing pattern

 $\Phi_{SF}(\{z_1, ..., z_N\}) = 1 \rightarrow$ unentangled product state

- - \rightarrow Global dancing pattern $\Phi_{FQH}(\{z_1,...,z_N\}) = \prod (z_i z_j)^3$
- A general theory of multi-layer Abelian FQH state:

 $\prod_{I;i < j} (z_i^I - z_j^I)^{K_{II}} \prod_{I < J;i,j} (z_i^I - z_j^J)^{K_{IJ}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i,l} |z_i^I|^2}$ Low energy effective theory is the *K*-matrix Chern-Simons theory $L = \frac{K_{IJ}}{4\pi} a_I da_J$



SQ (~

 An integer number of edge modes c = dim(K) = number of layers.
 Even K-matrix classifies all 2+1D Abelian topological order (but not one-to-one)

Xiao-Gang Wen, Perimeter/MIT ESI, Vienna, Aug., 2014

Quantum entanglement, topological order, and tensor category



A systematic theory of single-layer non-Ableian FQH state:
 Pattern of zeros S_a:

a-electron cluster has a relative angular momentum S_a Wen-Wang 08



• Local dancing rules are enforced by Hamiltonian to lower energy.

- Only certain sequences S_a correspond to valid FQH states. Which?
- Different POZ S_a give rise to different topological properties
- A fractional number of edge modes $c \neq$ integer.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶

I ≥ ► < Ξ ►</p>

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

Sum over a subset of product states

To make topological order, we need to sum over many different product states, but we should not sum over everything.

 $\sum_{\text{all spin config.}} |\uparrow\downarrow..\rangle = |\rightarrow\rightarrow..\rangle$

<ロト < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 臣)

Sum over a subset of product states

To make topological order, we need to sum over many different product states, but we should not sum over everything.

 $\sum_{\rm all \ spin \ config.} |\uparrow\downarrow..\rangle = |\rightarrow\rightarrow..\rangle$

• *sum* over a subset of spin config.:



 Can the above wavefunction be the ground states of local Hamiltonians?



Sar

Sum over a subset: local rule \rightarrow global dancing pattern



- Local dancing rules of a string liquid: (1) Dance while holding hands (no open ends) (2) $\Phi_{str} () = \Phi_{str} (), \Phi_{str} () = \Phi_{str} ()$ \rightarrow Global dancing pattern $\Phi_{str} () = 1$
- Local dancing rules of another string liquid:

 Dance while holding hands (no open ends)
 Φ_{str} (□) = Φ_{str} (□), Φ_{str} (□) = -Φ_{str} (□)
 Global dancing pattern Φ_{str} (^C) = (-)[#] of loops

 Two string-net condensations → two topological orders: Levin-Wen 05 Z₂ topo. order Sachdev Read 91, Wen 91 and double-semion topo. order.

Xiao-Gang Wen, Perimeter/MIT ESI, Vienna, Aug., 2014

Quantum entanglement, topological order, and tensor category

 $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A}$