



UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

DISSIPATIVE QUANTUM WALKS AND TOPOLOGY

M.J. Kastoryano and M. Rudner



New Trends in Strongly Entangled Many-Body Systems UCL, November 2015

VILLUM FONDEN

CARL§BERGFONDET

TOPOLOGY AND DISSIPATION

Dissipative engineering

Computation



F. Verstraete et al.,Nat. phys. 5.9 (2009): 633-636. MJK et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 110501



State engineering



S. Diehl et. al., Nat. Phys. 7 (2011), pp. 971–977. S. Diehl et. al., Nat. Phys. 4.11 (2008): 878-883 S. Diehl et. al., PRL 105.1 (2010): 015702. MJK et. al., PRL 106.9 (2011): 090502 H. Krauter et. al., PRL 107 (2011): 080503

....

Topological systems



Wednesday, November 25, 15

TOPOLOGY AND DISSIPATION



Wednesday, November 25, 15

DISSIPATIVE SYSTEMS

Master equation

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho) = i[H,\rho] + \sum_{m} L_{m}\rho L_{m}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \{L_{m}^{\dagger}L_{m},\rho\}_{+}$$

Stationary states

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho_{\rm ss}) = 0$$
 Is the stationary state unique?

$$L_m |\psi\rangle = 0 \quad H |\psi\rangle = \lambda |\psi\rangle \qquad \text{all } m$$

Spectral propertiesEigenvalues of
$$\mathcal{L}$$
 have non-
positive real part.Zero eigenvalue corresponds
to the stationary statesRelaxation rate is related to
the inverse of the gap of \mathcal{L} .Gap of \mathcal{L} : minimum real part
of an eigenvalue of \mathcal{L} .



THE MODEL



$$H = \sum_{m} u_m |A, m\rangle \langle B, m| + v_m |A, m+1\rangle \langle B, m| + h.c.$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho) = i[H,\rho] + \sum_{m} L_{m}\rho L_{m}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \{L_{m}^{\dagger}L_{m},\rho\}_{+}$$

Lindblad (jump) operators:

$$L_m = \sqrt{\gamma} |A, m\rangle \langle B, m|$$

Properties: unique steady state can be extracted analytically

pure (dark) steady state iff u=v

Current:

$$J = 2i \mathrm{tr}\left[\frac{dH_s}{ds}\rho\right] \qquad v \to e^{is}v$$

in general currents in open systems are ambiguous, as there are usually no conservation laws









replace
$$L_m = \sqrt{\gamma} |A, m\rangle \langle B, m|$$

with $L_k = \sqrt{\gamma} |A, k\rangle \langle B, k|$

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho) = i[H,\rho] + \sum_{k} L_{k}\rho L_{k}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \{L_{k}^{\dagger}L_{k},\rho\}_{+}$$

$$H_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_k \\ \bar{c}_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad c_k = u + e^{ik}v$$

$$\rho_{\rm ss}^k = \frac{1}{Z} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4|c_k|^2} & \frac{i\gamma}{2\bar{c}_k} \\ \frac{-i\gamma}{2c_k} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Reduced to solving a 2x2 matrix equation.

We obtain a solution for each momentum k.

Steady state of original system is the convex combination that are equal B-site population.

Solution is general!



Wednesday, November 25, 15



GENERALITY





$$\nu = \int dk \, \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{d}{dk} \log c_k\right]$$

$$c_k = \sum_l t_l e^{ilk}$$

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2\gamma} \int dk \, \left(2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4|c_k|^2}\right)$$

Is this really topology?

au diverges quadratically at the transition.

NEXT NEAREST NEIGHBOR



w

1

$$c_k = we^{-ik} + u + ve^{ik}$$

$$\nu = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u > v + w \\ -1, & \text{if } u < v + w \text{ and } v > w \\ 1, & \text{if } u < v + w \text{ and } v < w \end{cases}$$

$$-\sim \begin{cases} 1/|u^2 - (v+w)^2|, & \text{around } u = v+w \\ 1/|v^2 - w^2|, & \text{around } v = w \end{cases}$$

 $\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ u = 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ v \\ 1 \\ topological sectors \ \nu \end{array}$

7

EDGE STATES

 Back to nearest neighbor chain
 Bou

 Recall, in TI system, stationary state dark iff u=v.
 Bou

 As particle crosses between the two sectors, it gets caught in a dark state.
 Statio

Boundary acts as a sink!

Stationary state must be dark!



EDGE STATES

Assume: $|\psi_{ss}\rangle = \sum_{m} p_m |A, m\rangle$ $H = \sum_{m} u_m |A, m\rangle \langle B, m| + v_m |A, m+1\rangle \langle B, m| + h.c.$

$$H|\psi_{\rm ss}\rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \quad p_m u_m + p_{m+1} v_m = 0$$



EDGE STATES



NEXT NEAREST NEIGHBOR



 $\uparrow w$







topological sectors $\,
u$

NEXT NEAREST NEIGHBOR



end in an edge!



topological sectors $\,
u$

What are the general rules for the bulk edge correspondence?

How is it actually related to the topology?

General model

Assume dark stationary states

Recurrence relation

Transfer Matrix

$$H = \sum_{m} \sum_{l=-L}^{L} t_l |A, m\rangle \langle B, m+l| + h.c$$
$$|\psi_{ss}\rangle = \sum_{m} p_m |A, m\rangle$$
$$\sum_{l=-L}^{L} t_l \ p_{m+l} = 0$$

$$T\left(\begin{array}{c}p_{m+L-1}\\\dots\\p_{m-L}\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c}p_{m+L}\\\dots\\p_{m-L+1}\end{array}\right)$$

Physical states correspond to eigenvectors with eigenvalues of magnitude less than one.

Physical states correspond to eigenvectors with eigenvalues of magnitude less than one. However not all eigenvalues in the unit circle correspond to legitimate edge states.

Left and right moving transfer matrices must be bounded from any initial point!



 $\mathbf{2}$



 p_2

11

Physical states correspond to eigenvectors with eigenvalues of magnitude less than one. However not all eigenvalues in the unit circle correspond to legitimate edge states.

Left and right moving transfer matrices must be bounded from any initial point!

 $\mathbf{2}$



 p_2

Physical states correspond to eigenvectors with eigenvalues of magnitude less than one. However not all eigenvalues in the unit circle correspond to legitimate edge states.

Left and right moving transfer matrices must be bounded from any initial point!

 $\mathbf{2}$



 p_2

Physical states correspond to eigenvectors with eigenvalues of magnitude less than one. However not all eigenvalues in the unit circle correspond to legitimate edge states.

Left and right moving transfer matrices must be bounded from any initial point!

 $\mathbf{2}$



 p_2

Physical states correspond to eigenvectors with eigenvalues of magnitude less than one. However not all eigenvalues in the unit circle correspond to legitimate edge states.

Left and right moving transfer matrices must be bounded from any initial point!

 $\mathbf{2}$



 p_2

Physical states correspond to eigenvectors with eigenvalues of magnitude less than one. However not all eigenvalues in the unit circle correspond to legitimate edge states.



Physical states correspond to eigenvectors with eigenvalues of magnitude less than one. However not all eigenvalues in the unit circle correspond to legitimate edge states.



Physical states correspond to eigenvectors with eigenvalues of magnitude less than one. However not all eigenvalues in the unit circle correspond to legitimate edge states.





Physical states correspond to eigenvectors with eigenvalues of magnitude less than one.



However not all eigenvalues in the unit circle correspond to legitimate edge states.

Physical states correspond to eigenvectors with eigenvalues of magnitude less than one.

()

However not all eigenvalues in the unit circle correspond to legitimate edge states.

Left and right moving transfer matrices must be bounded from any initial point!

 $\mathbf{2}$

 p_2

BULK EDGE CORRESPONDENCE

l = -L

The eigenvalues of the transfer matrix are equal to the roots of the polynomial:

Only roots inside the unit circle are edge states

Winding number is simply the number of roots in the unit circle!

 $\sum_{l=1}^{L} t_l x^{l+L} \quad \Leftrightarrow \qquad c(k) = \sum_{l=1}^{L} t_l \ e^{ikl}$

l = -L

Number of edge states corresponds simply to the difference of winding number at a boundary!

But we have to be careful with ordering of the regions!



Topological (dark) edge state around $u=v_m$.

$$v_m = \sqrt{m}v_0$$

$$u_m = u$$

$$u >> v_0$$





Steady-state topology in the bulk





Bulk-edge correspondence

TAKE HOME MESSAGES

Dissipation can cause exotic behavior

Topological transition in very simple system

New type of topological phenomenon?

Wednesday, November 25, 15