

## Entangled Phases of Matter, WS 2020/21

### Exercise sheet 2

#### Solution

### 3. Majorana boundary state in the Kitaev's chain

Consider a chain consisting of  $L \gg 1$  sites. Each site can be empty or occupied by an electron (with the fixed spin direction). The Hamiltonian of such chain reads

$$H = -\frac{w}{2} \sum_x (c_x^\dagger c_{x+1} + c_{x+1}^\dagger c_x) - \mu \sum_x c_x^\dagger c_x + \frac{\Delta}{2} \sum_x (c_x c_{x+1} + c_{x+1}^\dagger c_x^\dagger). \quad (1)$$

Here  $w > 0$  is the hopping amplitude,  $\mu$  is a chemical potential, and  $\Delta > 0$  is the induced superconducting gap. To start, let us assume periodic boundary conditions and infinitely long chain with  $L \gg 1$ .

a) By introducing the Fourier transform for electron operators  $c_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_k c_k e^{ikx}$ , one needs to show that the Hamiltonian up to a constant term can be written in the Nambu form

$$H = \sum_{k>0} (c_k^\dagger, c_{-k}) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_k & \Delta_k \\ \Delta_k^* & -\xi_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_k \\ c_{-k}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\xi_k = -(\mu + w \cos k)$  and  $\Delta_k = -i\Delta \sin k$ .

We use the identity  $\frac{1}{L} \sum_x e^{ikx-ik'x} = \delta_{kk'}$ . Then the 1st term in Eq. (1) becomes

$$-\frac{w}{2L} \sum_{x,k,k'} \left( e^{-ikx} e^{ik'(x+1)} c_k^\dagger c_{k'} + \text{h.c.} \right) \stackrel{k=k'}{=} -\frac{w}{2} \sum_k (e^{-ik} c_k^\dagger c_k + \text{h.c.}) = -w \sum_k \cos k c_k^\dagger c_k. \quad (3)$$

In a similar way the term proportional to a chemical potential transforms into

$$-\frac{\mu}{L} \sum_{x,k,k'} e^{-ikx} e^{ik'x} c_k^\dagger c_{k'} \stackrel{k=k'}{=} -\mu \sum_k c_k^\dagger c_k. \quad (4)$$

For the order parameter term one gets

$$\frac{\Delta}{2L} \sum_{x,k,k'} \left( e^{ikx} e^{ik'(x+1)} c_k c_{k'} + \text{h.c.} \right) \stackrel{k=-k'}{=} \frac{\Delta}{2} \sum_k (e^{ik} c_{-k} c_k + \text{h.c.}) \quad (5)$$

$$= \frac{\Delta}{2} \sum_{k>0} (e^{ik} c_{-k} c_k + \text{h.c.}) + \frac{\Delta}{2} \sum_{k<0} (e^{ik} c_k c_{-k} + \text{h.c.}) = i\Delta \sum_{k>0} \sin k (c_{-k} c_k - c_k^\dagger c_{-k}^\dagger). \quad (6)$$

Here, to get the very last expression, one needs to change  $k \rightarrow -k$  in the 2nd sum. Now, using  $c_k^\dagger c_k = 1 - c_k c_k^\dagger$  one can rewrite

$$(3) = -w \sum_{k>0} \cos k c_k^\dagger c_k + w \sum_{k>0} \cos k c_{-k} c_{-k}^\dagger, \quad (7)$$

$$(4) = -\mu \sum_{k>0} c_k^\dagger c_k + \mu \sum_{k>0} c_{-k} c_{-k}^\dagger. \quad (8)$$

Introducing a dispersion relation  $\xi_k = -(\mu + w \cos k)$  and the momentum dependent 'p-wave' order parameter  $\Delta_k = -i\Delta \sin k$ , Eqs. (7,8) and (6) give the desired Nambu representation, Eq. (2).

b) The next task is to diagonalize the Hamiltonian (2) by the Bogoliubov transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k \\ \alpha_{-k}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_k & i \sin \theta_k \\ i \sin \theta_k & \cos \theta_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_k \\ c_{-k}^\dagger \end{pmatrix},$$

where angle  $\theta_{-k} = -\theta_k$  is odd function of  $k$  and check that anti-commutation relations  $\{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k'}^\dagger\} = \delta_{kk'}$  and  $\{\alpha_k, \alpha_{k'}\} = 0$  are preserved for any angle  $\theta_k$ .

Let's introduce  $\vec{c}_k = \begin{pmatrix} c_k \\ c_{-k}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\vec{\alpha}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k \\ \alpha_{-k}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}$ . Then the Bogoliubov transformation written in a matrix form becomes  $\vec{\alpha}_k = e^{i\theta_k \sigma_1} \vec{c}_k$  and  $\vec{\alpha}_k^\dagger = \vec{c}_k^\dagger e^{-i\theta_k \sigma_1}$ . It suffices then to discuss the case  $k = k'$  only, since for different momenta all operators anti-commute anyway. Thus, omitting  $k$ , one can write  $\{(\vec{c})_\mu, (\vec{c})_\nu^\dagger\} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$ , where Greek indices refer to the Nambu space. The latter gives

$$\begin{aligned} \{(\vec{\alpha})_\mu, (\vec{\alpha})_\nu^\dagger\} &= \{(e^{i\theta_k \sigma_1} \vec{c})_\mu, (\vec{c}^\dagger e^{-i\theta_k \sigma_1})_\nu\} = \{(e^{i\theta_k \sigma_1})_{\mu\rho} (\vec{c})_\rho, (\vec{c}^\dagger)_\sigma (e^{-i\theta_k \sigma_1})_{\sigma\nu}\} \\ &\stackrel{\rho=\sigma}{=} (e^{i\theta_k \sigma_1})_{\mu\rho} (e^{-i\theta_k \sigma_1})_{\rho\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

c) We now verify that the choice of a rotation angle to be  $\sin 2\theta_k = -i\Delta_k/\epsilon_k$  with  $\epsilon_k = \sqrt{\xi_k^2 + |\Delta_k|^2}$  brings  $H$  to the canonical form

$$H = \sum_{k>0} \epsilon_k (\alpha_k^\dagger \alpha_k - \alpha_{-k} \alpha_{-k}^\dagger) = \sum_k \epsilon_k \alpha_k^\dagger \alpha_k + \text{Const.}$$

(note, that in the 2nd sum a summation goes over all momenta  $k \in [-\pi, \pi]$ ).

Using vectors  $\vec{\alpha}_k$  the Hamiltonian in its canonical form reads

$$H = \sum_{k>0} \epsilon_k \vec{\alpha}_k^\dagger \sigma_3 \vec{\alpha}_k. \quad (10)$$

Expressing the latter in terms of original operators  $c_k$  and  $c_k^\dagger$  it becomes

$$H = \sum_{k>0} \epsilon_k \vec{c}_k^\dagger e^{-i\theta_k \sigma_1} \sigma_3 e^{i\theta_k \sigma_1} \vec{c}_k = \sum_{k>0} \epsilon_k \vec{c}_k^\dagger \sigma_3 e^{2i\theta_k \sigma_1} \vec{c}_k. \quad (11)$$

When written explicitly in the Nambu form, it reads

$$H = \sum_{k>0} (c_k^\dagger, c_{-k}) \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_k \cos 2\theta_k & i\epsilon_k \sin 2\theta_k \\ -i\epsilon_k \sin 2\theta_k & -\epsilon_k \cos 2\theta_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_k \\ c_{-k}^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

From here the required relations,  $\sin 2\theta_k = -i\Delta_k/\epsilon_k$  and  $\epsilon_k = \sqrt{\xi_k^2 + |\Delta_k|^2}$ , follow.

Let us further consider a half-infinite chain with  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x \geq 1$  and introduce two Majorana fermions

$$\gamma_x^A = i(c_x^\dagger - c_x), \quad \gamma_x^B = c_x + c_x^\dagger$$

per each cite.

d) Checking anti-commutation relations  $\{\gamma_x^s, \gamma_{x'}^{s'}\} = 2\delta_{xx'}\delta_{ss'}$  is straightforward.

- e) Next one can show that the lattice Hamiltonian (1) takes the following form (up to a constant)

$$H = -\frac{i\mu}{2} \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} \gamma_x^B \gamma_x^A + \frac{i}{4} \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} (w + \Delta) \gamma_x^A \gamma_{x+1}^B + \frac{i}{4} \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} (-w + \Delta) \gamma_x^B \gamma_{x+1}^A,$$

when expressed via Majorana fermions.

Let's discuss the hopping term as an example.

$$-\frac{w}{2} \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} (c_x^\dagger c_{x+1} + \text{h.c.}) = -\frac{w}{8} \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} (\gamma_x^B - i\gamma_x^A)(\gamma_{x+1}^B + i\gamma_{x+1}^A) + \text{h.c.} \quad (13)$$

$$= -\frac{w}{8} \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} (\gamma_x^B \gamma_{x+1}^B + i\gamma_x^B \gamma_{x+1}^A - i\gamma_x^A \gamma_{x+1}^B + \gamma_x^A \gamma_{x+1}^A) + \text{h.c.} \quad (14)$$

At this stage we use that  $\gamma_x^A \gamma_{x+1}^A + \text{h.c.} = \gamma_x^A \gamma_{x+1}^A + \gamma_{x+1}^A \gamma_x^A = \{\gamma_x^A, \gamma_{x+1}^A\} = 0$  and the same for  $\gamma_x^B \gamma_{x+1}^B$  term. On other hand  $i\gamma_x^B \gamma_{x+1}^A + \text{h.c.} = 2i\gamma_x^B \gamma_{x+1}^A$  and  $-i\gamma_x^A \gamma_{x+1}^B + \text{h.c.} = -2i\gamma_x^A \gamma_{x+1}^B$ . Therefore the kinetic term reads

$$(14) = \frac{iw}{4} \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} (\gamma_x^A \gamma_{x+1}^B - \gamma_x^B \gamma_{x+1}^A). \quad (15)$$

Other pieces of the Hamiltonian can be re-expressed in terms of Majorana operators in a similar fashion. For the  $\mu$ -term one finds

$$\begin{aligned} -\mu \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} c_x^\dagger c_x &= -\frac{\mu}{4} \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} (\gamma_x^B - i\gamma_x^A)(\gamma_x^B + i\gamma_x^A) = -\frac{\mu}{4} \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} (2 + i\gamma_x^B \gamma_x^A - i\gamma_x^A \gamma_x^B) = \\ &= -\frac{i\mu}{2} \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} \gamma_x^B \gamma_x^A + \text{Const.} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

And the  $\Delta$ -term transforms as

$$\frac{\Delta}{2} \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} (c_x c_{x+1} + \text{h.c.}) = \frac{\Delta}{8} \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} (\gamma_x^B + i\gamma_x^A)(\gamma_{x+1}^B + i\gamma_{x+1}^A) + \text{h.c.} = \frac{i\Delta}{4} \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} (\gamma_x^A \gamma_{x+1}^B + \gamma_x^B \gamma_{x+1}^A) \quad (17)$$

Then the sum of (15), (16) and (17) gives the required Hamiltonian.

- f) In addition to the bulk spectrum  $\epsilon_k$ , the half-chain may posses the boundary Majorana zero-mode  $\gamma_0$  such that  $[\gamma_0, H] = 0$ . To find it we initially derive a formal solution for the infinite chain. For that we verify that the ansatz

$$\gamma_0 = \sum_x (C_+ z_+^x + C_- z_-^x) \gamma_x^B$$

solves the equation of motion  $[\gamma_0, H] = 0$ . Here  $C_\pm$  are two arbitrary constants while  $z_\pm$  are two roots of the quadratic equation

$$(w + \Delta)z^2 + 2\mu z + (w - \Delta) = 0.$$

To prove it we use the relation

$$[A, BC] = \{A, B\}C - B\{A, C\}, \quad (18)$$

which is valid for any operators  $A, B$  and  $C$ . Indeed  $\{A, B\}C - B\{A, C\} = ABC + BAC - BAC - BCA = ABC - BCA = [A, BC]$ .

Let's further assume that  $\gamma_0 = \sum_x z^x \gamma_x^B$  and derive the equation for  $z$  from  $[\gamma_0, H] = 0$  using the relation (18). We apply the latter to each term of  $H$ . For the  $\mu$ -term one finds

$$-\frac{i\mu}{2} \sum_{x,x'=1}^{+\infty} z^x [\gamma_x^B, \gamma_{x'}^B \gamma_{x'}^A] = -\frac{i\mu}{2} \sum_{x,x'=1}^{+\infty} z^x \{\gamma_x^B, \gamma_{x'}^B\} \gamma_{x'}^A \stackrel{x=x'}{=} -i\mu \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} z^x \gamma_x^A. \quad (19)$$

The  $(w + \Delta)$ -term gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{i}{4}(w + \Delta) \sum_{x,x'=1}^{+\infty} z^x [\gamma_x^B, \gamma_{x'}^A \gamma_{x'+1}^B] &= -\frac{i}{4}(w + \Delta) \sum_{x,x'=1}^{+\infty} z^x \gamma_{x'}^A \{\gamma_x^B, \gamma_{x'+1}^B\} \stackrel{x=x'+1}{=} \\ &-\frac{i}{2}(w + \Delta) \sum_{x'=1}^{+\infty} z^{x'+1} \gamma_{x'}^A \stackrel{x' \rightarrow x}{=} -\frac{i}{2}(w + \Delta) \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} z^{x+1} \gamma_x^A, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

while the  $(-w + \Delta)$ -term brings us

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{i}{4}(-w + \Delta) \sum_{x,x'=1}^{+\infty} z^x [\gamma_x^B, \gamma_{x'}^B \gamma_{x'+1}^A] &= \frac{i}{4}(-w + \Delta) \sum_{x,x'=1}^{+\infty} z^x \{\gamma_x^B, \gamma_{x'}^B\} \gamma_{x'+1}^A \stackrel{x'=x}{=} \\ \frac{i}{2}(-w + \Delta) \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} z^x \gamma_{x+1}^A \stackrel{x \rightarrow x-1}{=} \frac{i}{2}(-w + \Delta) \sum_{x=2}^{+\infty} z^{x-1} \gamma_x^A. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Summing up (19), (20) and (21) we find the commutator

$$[\gamma_0, H] = -i\mu \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} z^x \gamma_x^A - \frac{i}{2}(w + \Delta) \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} z^{x+1} \gamma_x^A + \frac{i}{2}(-w + \Delta) \sum_{x=2}^{+\infty} z^{x-1} \gamma_x^A. \quad (22)$$

From here it follows that for all  $x \geq 2$  the commutator is zero provided

$$-i\mu - \frac{i}{2}(w + \Delta)z + \frac{i}{2}(-w + \Delta)z^{-1} = 0, \quad (23)$$

which gives the required quadratic equation.

**g)** The point  $x = 1$  is special since the last sum in Eq. (22) starts from a cite  $x = 2$ . We thus assume that  $\gamma_0 = \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} (C_+ z_+^x + C_- z_-^x) \gamma_x^B$  and find the constrain on  $C_{\pm}$ .

The relation (22) at  $x = 1$  reduces to

$$-i\mu(z_+ C_+ + z_- C_-) - \frac{i}{2}(w + \Delta)(z_+^2 C_+ + z_-^2 C_-) = 0. \quad (24)$$

But we know that  $z_{\pm}$  are the roots of quadratic equation (23). Hence the relation (24) yields  $\frac{i}{2}(-w + \Delta)(C_+ + C_-) = 0$  or  $C_- = -C_+$ . Hence

$$\gamma_0 \propto \sum_{x=1}^{+\infty} (z_+^x - z_-^x) \gamma_x^B \quad (25)$$

is a possible zero mode up to a normalization constant provided both terms decay at  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . The latter requires  $|z_{\pm}| < 1$ .

**h)** One needs to check that the condition for existence of the Majorana boundary modes is  $w > |\mu|$ .

The latter condition guarantees that  $|z_{\pm}| < 1$ . Indeed, from the quadratic equation for  $z$  it follows that  $z_+ z_- = (w - \Delta)(w + \Delta)$ . Since both  $w > 0$  and  $\Delta > 0$  (by the initial assumption), we see that  $|z_+ z_-| < 1$ . In particular, at the special point  $\mu = w$  one finds that  $z_+ = (w - \Delta)/(w + \Delta)$  and  $z_- = -1$ . Then the direct inspection shows that if  $\mu > 0$  then  $|z_-| < 1$  at  $\mu < w$  and  $|z_-| > 1$  otherwise. The case  $\mu < 0$  can be analyzed in a similar way.